

Available online at www.sciencerepository.org

Science Repository



Research Article

Efficacy of Levosimendan vs. Dobutamine in the Treatment of Ventricular Dysfunction in Patients Subjected to Aortic Valve Replacement

Claudia Amelia Jiménez Martínez¹, Rosa María Torres Hernández² and Beatriz González Jiménez^{2*}

¹Cardiovascular Anesthesiologist, UMAE#14 IMSS, Veracruz, México ²Faculty of Medicine, Veracruz Region, Universidad Veracruzana, Veracruz, México

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 6 April, 2021

Accepted: 21 April, 2021

Published: 12 May, 2021

ventricular dysfunction

Article history:

Keywords:

dobutamine

aortic valve

Levosimendan

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The ischaemic lesion due to coarctation of the aorta, inadequate myocardial protection, cardioplegic solutions, hypothermia and other factors can contribute to alterations in the myocardial contractility in the postsurgical period of valve replacement.

Objective: To determine the efficacy of Levosimendan vs. Dobutamine in the treatment of ventricular dysfunction in patients subjected to aortic valve replacement.

Materials and Methods: Quasi-experimental research was carried out on 60 patients diagnosed with stenosis, insufficiency or double aortic lesion subjected to aortic valve replacement under general anaesthesia. Cohorts were randomly assigned, group I (n=30) Dobutamine at 7.5 μ g/kg/min, and group II (n=30) Levosimendan infusion of 0.2 μ g/kg/min. The following criteria were monitored in both groups arterial tension, heart rate, oxygen saturation, and the hemodynamic variables with central venous catheter 7 Fr Arrow and Swan Ganz catheter 7Fr Edwards via internal jugular. For the statistical analysis, the variables were analysed using the student's t-test P<0.05.

Results: The average age was 57.02 ± 13 years. The average dose was 3-5 gammas for Dobutamine and 0.1-0.2 gammas for Levosimendan. The left ventricular ejection fractions were $56.2\pm11\%$ and $56.4\pm10\%$, respectively. In the postsurgical setting, significant differences were observed only in the capillary pressure (17.03 ± 5.8 vs. 13.87 ± 2.9 cmH2O, p<0.01). The Levosimendan group (64.1 ± 13.6 vs. $57.6\pm12.9\%$, p=0.06). **Conclusion:** The administration of Levosimendan during the perioperative aortic valve replacement was associated with a tendency of deterioration of the ejection fraction in the left ventricle compared to the use of Dobutamine.

© 2021 Beatriz González Jiménez. Hosting by Science Repository. All rights reserved

Introduction

Several factors can contribute to alterations in the myocardial contractility, including ischaemic lesion due to coarctation of the aorta, inadequate myocardial protection, cardioplegic solutions, hypothermia, surgical repair and repercussion lesions that occur in the hours after cardiac surgery [1, 2]. The treatment of ventricular dysfunction, derived from cardiac surgery and extracorporeal circulation, includes the administration of positive inotropic drugs and vasodilators. The most

commonly used inotropic agents are beta-adrenergic agonists and phosphodiesterase inhibitors III/IV. However, the introduction of Levosimendan – a positive inotropic drug – that belongs to the group of agents can increase the sensitivity of calcium contractile proteins [3, 4]. Regarding the effects of calcium sensitizers over the function of myocardial relaxation and diastolic function in humans, *in vitro* studies have shown that calcium sensitizers can affect myocardial relaxation and increase (5).

^{*}Correspondence to: Dr. Beatriz González Jiménez, Faculty of Medicine, Veracruz Region, Universidad Veracruzana, Veracruz, México; Tel: 2299296172; E-mail: begonzalez@uv.mx

^{© 2021} Beatriz González Jiménez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. Hosting by Science Repository. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.31487/j.EJCR.2021.01.01

Recently, it has been observed that Levosimendan enhances the echocardiographic variables of the diastolic function [6, 7]. Levosimendan sensitizes troponin C to calcium without impairing the diastolic relaxation. Furthermore, it has a vasodilator effect mediated by the opening of vascular potassium channels sensitive to ATP [8, 9]. These properties decrease both preload and afterload, increasing el coronary flow. The LIDO study, which includes centers in 11 European countries, compares the efficacy and safety of Levosimendan and Dobutamine in patients with heart failure and cardiac output severely diminished. This study found hemodynamic improvement, which was defined as an increase greater than 30% in the cardiac output and a decrease greater than 25% in the pulmonary artery pressure. After 30 days, 8% of patients in the Levosimendan group died, compared to 17% in the Dobutamine group. The most frequent hemodynamic side effect was arterial hypotension [10]. The survival of patients with acute heart failure requiring intravenous inotropic support was evaluated in the study SURVIVE. This was the first prospective, double-blinded, randomized study that took into consideration mortality as a primary variable in the assessment of the efficacy of Levosimendan in comparison to Dobutamine. At the end of the follow-up (final survival point at 180 days), 26% of the participants in the Levosimendan group died, while 28% died in the Dobutamine group [11, 12]. On the other hand, Dobutamine has shown an increase in atherogenicity and sudden cardiac death, probably, related to an increase in the concentrations of intracellular cAMP and myocardial ischaemia [13]. The goal of the current research is to compare Levosimendan against Dobutamine concerning the improvement of ventricular function, which is quantified by LVEF in patients subjected to aortic valve replacement.

Materials and Methods

Quasi-experimental research was carried out on 60 patients of UMAE#14 IMSS diagnosed with stenosis, insufficiency or double aortic lesion subjected to aortic valve replacement. The study was performed during the period June-September 2019, with prior authorization from

Table 1: General characteristics of patients subjected to aortic valve replacement.

the local Research Committee and informed consent. The age group was: 18 to 75 years old, both sexes. Patients with the following conditions were excluded from the experiment: Concomitant valvular injury and/or ischaemic heart disease, ejection fraction less than 30%, use of intravenous inotropic during hospitalization, patients with chronic renal failure, presence of complex ventricular arrhythmias (sustained ventricular tachycardia or ventricular fibrillation within the last 48 hours) and patient with a history of CVD events. Cohorts subjected to aortic valve replacement under general anaesthesia were randomly assigned. Group I (n=30) received Dobutamine at 7.5 µg/kg/min, and group II (n=30) Levosimendan infusion of 0.2 µg/kg/min. A central venous catheter 7 Fr Arrow and Swan Ganz catheter 7Fr Edwards via internal jugular was installed. The following criteria were monitored in both groups; heart rate, systolic blood pressure, mean pulmonary arterial pressure, pulmonary capillary pressure (PCP), central venous pressure, cardiac output, cardiac index, stroke volume, systolic index, systemic vascular resistance, pulmonary vascular resistance. The anaesthesia technique varied between patients. The determination of the left ventricular ejection fraction, the stroke volume, the dimension of the cavities, the wall thickness of the left ventricle in systole and diastole was done by means of echocardiography in the preoperative and 48 hours after the surgical intervention that was carried out with Extracorporeal circulation and global myocardial ischaemia due to aortic clamping and electromechanical arrest of the heart by cold cardioplegia solution. For the statistical analysis, the variables were analysed using the student's t-test P<0.05 to determine differences among groups. The statistical package used for this research was: SPSS 20.0.

Results

The following characteristics were considered: age group (Group I 55.2 \pm 14.5 and Group II 59.6 \pm 11.7 years old), gender, weight, body mass index, cardiopulmonary bypass time and aortic clamping. The therapeutic dose for both groups was represented in 3-5 gammas for Dobutamine and 0.1-0.2 gammas for Levosimendan (Table 1).

PARAMETER	DOBUTAMINE	LEVOSIMEDAN	'P' value
Age (years)	55.2 ± 14.5	59.6 ± 11.7	0.33 *
Male	10 (33%)	7 (23%)	0.39
Weight (Kg)	68.15 ± 12.7	68.14 ± 11.7	0.99
BMI (Kg/m ²)	1.73 ± 0.24	1.71 ± 0.18	0.64 *
CEC Time (min)	105.6 ± 33.1	118.9 ± 54.9	0.54 *
PAo Time (min)	73.9 ± 17.1	80.4 ± 25	0.59 *
Dose (gammas)	4.05 ± 1.05	0.16 ± 0.05	NA

*Student T-test of independent samples.

In order to analyse the hemodynamic and echocardiographic variables, the results were considered under two categories: preoperative and postoperative. Regarding the hemodynamic variables in the postoperative setting, there was a decrease in SAP, a slight increase in mean PAP, and a significant increase in CO and CI. There were no statistically significant differences in PCP, stroke volume, LVWI, and pulmonary resistance. There was an improvement in LVEF which in turn might positively affect the systolic volume, LVDD and LVSD. There was a significant decrease in the right ventricular diameter in the postoperative setting. No significant changes occurred in cohorts during the preoperative measurements, except in SAP from the Levosimendan group (p<0.05) and LVWI (p<0.05) (Table 2).

There were no significant changes in the hemodynamic and echocardiographic variables in the patients from both cohorts during the postoperative period. The PCP in the patients from the group of Levosimendan was low and there is a trend of lower values in the postoperative LVEF in the levosimendan group (Table 3). Table 2: Preoperative Levosimendan vs. Dobutamine groups in ventricular dysfunction in patients subjected to aortic valve replacement.

PARAMETER	DOBUTAMINE	LEVOSIMEDAN	'P' value
Cardiac frequency (lpm)	61.6 ± 11.8	61.5 ± 16	0.61 *
Systolic arterial pressure (mmHg)	108.5 ± 16.8	96.9 ± 20	0.02
Mean pulmonary pressure (mmHg)	22.9 ± 7.2	24.4 ± 9.1	0.82 *
Pulmonary capillary pressure (cmH ₂ O)	16.4 ± 5.7	16.5 ± 6.8	0.92
Central venous pressure (cmH ₂ O)	11.1 ± 3.9	11.3 ±3.3	0.89
Cardiac output (L/min)	3.2 ± 1.3	3.1 ± 1.1	0.83
Cardiac Index (L/min/m ²)	1.84 ± 0.7	1.77 ± 0.64	0.80 *
Stroke volume (cc/min)	52.5 ± 17.2	50.1 ± 13.3	0.56
Systolic index (cc/min/m ²)	31.4 ± 10.1	30.6 ± 9.1	0.73
Systematic resistance (dinas.seg.cm-5)	1877 ± 674	1743 ± 742	0.29 *
LVWI (g.m/m ²)	40.9 ± 59	30.2 ± 29.7	0.03 *
Pulmonary resistance (dinas.seg.cm-5)	205 ± 133	240 ± 168	0.37 *
LVEF (%)	56.2 ± 11	56.4 ± 10	0.94
Systolic volume (cc)	72.2 ± 45.8	66.2 ± 43	0.32 *
Right ventricle (mm)	155 ± 72.5	139 ± 82.2	0.15 *
LVDD (mm)	48.3 ± 8.4	47.4 ± 9.8	0.53 *
LVSD (mm)	33 ± 7.6	30.5 ± 9	0.92
IVS (mm)	13.6 ± 2.16	13.3 ± 1.8	0.61

*Student T-test of independent samples.

Table 3: Postoperative Levosimendan vs. Dobutamine groups in ventricular dysfunction in patients subjected to aortic valve replacement.

PARAMETER	DOBUTAMINE	LEVOSIMEDAN	'P' value
Cardiac frequency (lpm)	92.03 ± 16.4	92.7 ± 20.2	0.89
Systolic arterial pressure (mmHg)	101.5 ± 13.7	102.3 ± 26	0.66 *
Mean pulmonary pressure (mmHg)	26.5 ± 5.1	24.9 ± 5.8	0.24
Pulmonary capillary pressure (cmH ₂ O)	17.03 ± 5.8	13.87 ± 2.9	0.01
Central venous pressure (cmH ₂ O)	13.87 ± 4.1	12.1 ± 2.9	0.06
Cardiac output (L/min)	5.15 ± 1.5	5.5 ± 1.46	0.83
Cardiac Index (L/min/m ²)	3.0 ± 0.8	3.2 ± 0.9	0.32
Stroke volume (cc/min)	58.5 ± 18.5	56.3 ± 18.4	0.65
Systolic index (cc/min/m ²)	36.1 ± 12.7	34.9 ± 19.7	0.56 *
Systematic resistance (dinas.seg.cm-5)	969 ± 344	1018 ± 391	0.61
LVWI (g.m/m ²)	34 ± 20	35 ± 45	0.34 *
Pulmonary resistance (dinas.seg.cm-5)	152 ± 78	195 ± 137	0.23 *
LVEF (%)	64.1 ± 13.6	57.6 ± 12.9	0.05
Systolic volume (cc)	52 ± 36	53 ± 36	0.87 *
Right ventricle (mm)	88.7 ± 48.4	106.7 ± 52.2	0.17
LVDD (mm)	42.3 ± 6.9	42.5 ± 9.0	0.69 *
LVSD (mm)	28.8 ± 7.6	30.5 ± 9.0	0.67 *
IVS (mm)	13.2 ± 2.4	13.6 ± 2.5	0.56
	13.2 ± 2.7	13.3 ± 2.5	0.92

*Student T-test of independent samples.

Discussion

In the current study, the studied population was homogenous, showing similar demographic and clinical characteristics. The dose of Levosimendan used in the subgroup was 0.1-0.2 gammas, which is the recommended dose that has been employed in other studies such as the LIDO and SURVIVE studies [7]. However, due to institutional methodological reasons, the loading bolus dose was not administered. It is noteworthy that the Dobutamine dose was 3 to 5 gammas, and no progressive increment was observed until 40 gammas, which is the level

used in the SURVIVE study [12]. In contrast to the aforementioned studies, in our research, the female sex was predominant by approximately 70%. The time of aortic clamping and the time of extracorporeal circulation met the parameters established as safety ranges by the Society of Thoracic Surgeons in the United States. When comparing the values of the hemodynamic variables of both groups, an increase in the cardiac output and cardiac index, with a significant increase of CVP, mean PAP and PCP (the latter showing no statistical significance) was observed. This means that a hyperdynamic state might be produced by the inotropic in question. Furthermore, there was a

significant improvement in the LVEF measure through echography. A similar improvement in the cardiac output was observed in the levosimendan group, which is associated with an increase in CVP and PCP (not statistically significant). However, LVEF showed a decrease in the postoperative period of these patients. On comparing both drugs, it was found that LVEF tends to deteriorate when levosimendan was administered, which represents a negative result in this research. One possible explanation for this result might be the limited sample size [14, 15]. Overall, the administration of levosimendan in the preoperative setting of aortic valve replacement was associated with a deterioration of the left ventricular ejection fraction compared to the use of Dobutamine.

Abbreviation

ATP: Adenosine Triphosphate cAMP: Cyclic Adenosine Monophosphate LVEF: Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction CVE: Cerebrovascular Event BMI: Body Mass Index LVWI: Left Ventricular Work Index LVDD: Left Ventricular Diastolic Diameter LVSD: Left Ventricular Systolic Diameter LVPW: Left Ventricular Posterior Wall IVS: Interventricular Septum SAP: Systolic Arterial Pressure PAP: Pulmonary Artery Pressure CVP: Central Venous Pressure CO: Cardiac Output CI: Cardiac Index

Tests of Normality of The Variables.

CHARACTERISTIC	MEAN	SD	MEDIAN	MIN	MAX	SESGO	CURTOSIS	KS	SW
Age	57.38	13.38	61	22	77	-1.017	0.45	.005	.000
Weight	68.35	12.08	67	34	105	0.15	1.23	.167	.390
Dose	2.08	2.1	0.2	0	7	0.42	-1.34	.000	.000
BMI	1.72	0.21	1.73	1	3	1.5	6.5	.006	.000
FC pre	61.73	14.02	59	37	101	1.02	1.33	.011	.002
FC post	92.51	18.37	90	22	122	-0.49	0.14	.200	.006
SAP pre	102.97	19.29	99	74	154	0.99	0.73	.027	.001
SAP post	102.15	20.83	99	60	179	1.45	3.84	.002	.000
PAP pre	23.63	8.19	23	7	48	0.88	1.07	.001	.004
PAP post	25.78	5.53	25	11	39	-0.19	1.12	.007	.011
PCP pre	16.59	6.17	16	6	30	0.49	-0.20	.006	.013
PCP post	15.54	4.84	15	4	31	0.57	1.18	.090	.132
CVP pre	11.25	3.62	11	5	19	0.31	-0.86	.182	.048
CVP post	12.95	3.67	13	7	22	0.41	-0.45	.068	.091
CO pre	3.2	1.18	3	1.2	7.9	1.35	3.45	.058	.001
CO post	5.33	1.58	5.2	2.5	9.9	0.52	0.02	.200	.218
CI pre	1.82	0.68	1.7	0.7	4.4	1.55	3.67	.009	.000
CI post	3.12	0.89	3	1.6	5.7	0.42	0.14	.069	.234
VL pre	51.64	15.17	51.5	14	106	0.49	2.2	.200	.090
VL post	57.5	57.50	56	26	115	0.78	0.88	.200	.044
IS pre	31.21	9.52	31.8	8	59	0.53	1.32	.166	.050
IS post	35.57	16.59	34.2	4	117	2.21	9.12	.000	.000
RVS pre	1794.9	702.64	1662	634	4413	1.34	2.72	.011	.000
RVS post	991.95	369.34	894	89	1774	0.31	-0.40	.015	.046
LVWI pre	35.77	46.92	22.4	8	344	5.39	33.44	.000	.000
LVWI post	34.9	35.09	27.3	11	264	5.15	32.07	.000	.000
RVP pre	222.69	152.83	200	52	922	2.28	7.78	.010	.000
RVP post	173.17	114.02	149	19	711	2.1	7.59	.000	.000
Pao	77.61	21.43	74	48	146	1.37	2.03	.018	.000
CEC	112.99	45.63	99	71	328	2.92	9.78	.000	.000
LVEF pre	56.07	10.49	58	30	75	-0.97	0.63	.000	.000
LVEF post	60.86	13.66	62	23	91	-0.46	0.28	.200	.269
VS pre	69.51	44.54	54	18	250	1.77	3.96	.001	.000
VS post	52.81	36.47	40	13	195	1.6	2.91	.000	.000
VD pre	146.25	77.37	119	31	388	1.33	1.6	.001	.000
VD post	97.95	51.17	95	19	253	0.88	0.78	.032	.009

LVDD pre	47.92	9.14	47	32	77	0.97	1.19	.007	.007
LVDD post	42.36	8.06	41	27	68	0.9	1.15	.004	.011
LVSD pre	32.9	8.82	32	15	63	0.81	1.31	.028	.058
LVSD post	29.58	8.4	27	12	58	1.00	1.77	.000	.002
Septum pre	13.42	1.97	13	9	19	0.66	0.95	.000	.012
Septum post	13.41	2.45	13	8	18	0.07	-0.55	.182	.034
PP pre	12.97	1.76	13	10	17	0.43	-0.46	.000	.004
PP post	13.27	2.59	13	8	19	-0.29	-0.16	.001	.009

REFERENCES

- Wang B, He X, Gong Y, Cheng B (2018) Levosimendan in Patients with Left Ventricular Dysfunction Undergoing Cardiac Surgery: An Update Meta-Analysis and Trial Sequential Analysis. *Biomed Res Int* 2018: 7563083. [Crossref]
- Miranda Aquino T, Pírez Topete SE, Treviño Frutos RJ, Guerra Villa MN (2016) Dobutamine versus levosimendan for patients with acute decompensated heart failure. *Rev Mex Cardiol* 27: 44-49.
- Villa G, Tavazzi G, Guarracino F, Sangalli F (2019) Levosimendan: What Have We Learned So Far? *Curr Anesthesiol Rep* 9: 234-241.
- 4. Oliveros H, García H, Rubio C, Navarrete J (2019) Perioperative use of levosimendan in patients undergoing cardiac surgery: systematic review and meta-analysis TT- Uso perioperatorio de levosimendán en pacientes sometidos a cirugía cardiaca: revisión sistemática de la literatura y metaanálisis. *Rev Colomb Anestesiol* 47: 142-153.
- Hajjar RJ, Chmidt U, Helm P, Gwathmey JK (1997) Ca++ sensitizers impair cardiac relaxation in failing human myocardium. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 280: 247-254. [Crossref]
- Dernellis J, Panaretou M (2005) Effects of levosimendan on restrictive left ventricular filling in severe heart failure: a combined hemodynamic and Doppler echocardiographic study. *Chest* 128: 2633-2639. [Crossref]
- Parissis JT, Panou F, Farmakis D, Adamopoulos S, Filippatos G et al. (2005) Effects of levosimendan on markers of left ventricular diastolic function and neurohormonal activation in patients with advanced heart failure. *Am J Cardiol* 96: 423-426. [Crossref]
- Yokoshiki H, Katsube Y, Sunagawa M, Sperelakis N (1997) Levosimendan, a novel Ca2+ sensitizer, activates the glibenclamide-

sensitive K+ channel in rat arterial myocytes. *Eur J Pharmacol* 333: 249-259. [Crossref]

- Kaheinen P, Pollesello P, Levijoki J, Haikala H (2001) Levosimendan increases diastolic coronary flow in isolated guinea-pig heart by opening ATP-sensitive potassium channels. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* 37: 367-374. [Crossref]
- Follath F, Cleland JGF, Just H, Papp JGY, Scholz H et al. (2002) Efficacy and safety of intravenous levosimendan compared with dobutamine in severe low-output heart failure (the LIDO study): a randomised double-blind trial. *Lancet* 360: 196-202. [Crossref]
- 11. Mebaza A, Barraud D, Weischbiling S (2005) Randomized clinical trials with levosimendan. *Am J Cardiol* 96: 74G-79G. [Crossref]
- Mebazaa A, Nieminen MS, Packer M, Cohen Solal A, Kleber FX et al. (2007) Levosimendan vs dobutamine for patients with acute decompensated heart failure: the SURVIVE Randomized Trial. *JAMA* 297: 1883-1891. [Crossref]
- Moniotte S, Kobzik L, Feron O, Trochu JN, Gauthier C et al. (2011) Upregulation of beta(3)-adrenoceptors and altered contractile response to inotropic amines in human failing myocardium. *Circulation* 103: 1649-1655. [Crossref]
- Cholley B, Caruba T, Grosjean S, Amour J, Ouattara A et al. (2017) Effect of Levosimendan on Low Cardiac Output Syndrome in Patients With Low Ejection Fraction Undergoing Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting With Cardiopulmonary Bypass: The LICORN Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 318: 548-556. [Crossref]
- Bouchez S, Fedele F, Giannakoulas G, Gustafsson F, Harjola VP et al. (2018) Levosimendan in Acute and Advanced Heart Failure: an Expert Perspective on Posology and Therapeutic Application. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther* 32: 617-624. [Crossref]