

Available online at www.sciencerepository.org

Science Repository



Review Article

Clinical Outcomes of Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection for Early Gastric Cancer in Different Indications

Zhihong Duan^{1,2}, Qingxia Wang^{1,2} and Ruihua Shi^{2*}

¹Medical School of Southeast University, China

²Department of Gastroenterology, Southeast University Affiliated Zhongda Hospital, Nanjing, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 11 January, 2022 Accepted: 26 January, 2022 Published: 9 February, 2022

Keywords:

Early gastric cancer endoscopic submucosal dissection clinical outcomes

ABSTRACT

Gastric cancer still threatens the health of people, which is the fifth most common cancer and the fourth leading cancer-related death worldwide. The stage of the disease is critical for the 5-year survival of gastric cancer; the 5-year survival rates could reach 90% for gastric cancer detected at early stages. At present, endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) is the main treatment for early gastric cancer. Referring to the treatment guideline of the Japan Gastric Cancer Association, the indications for ESD mainly include absolute indications, expanded indications, and relative indications. Many studies have reported favourable long-term outcomes after undergoing ESD, and compared with surgery, ESD preserves the entire stomach and improves the quality of life of patients. However, it has also been reported that the recurrence rate of gastric cancer after ESD is high, so regular follow-up and careful examination are needed, even for patients with early gastric cancer who met absolute indications.

© 2022 Ruihua Shi. Hosting by Science Repository.

Introduction

Gastric cancer still threatens the health of people and is responsible for over one million new cases and an approximated 769,000 deaths in 2020, which is the fifth most common cancer and the fourth leading cancer related death worldwide. Eastern Asia and Eastern Europe particularly have the highest morbidities [1]. However, the stage of disease is critical for 5-year survival of gastric cancer. Actually, the 5-year survival rates could reach 90% for gastric cancer detected at early stages [2-4]. In Korea, endoscopic screening for gastric cancer has been started since 1999, the rate of detection of early gastric carcinoma reached to 70% [5, 6]. In Japan, endoscopic screening for gastric cancer as a public policy based on the guidelines published by the National Cancer Center of Japan has been conducted in 2015, the rate of detection in the early stage of gastric cancer is increasing gradually [7].

Early gastric cancer (ECG) is defined as tumor invasion is confined to the mucosa or submucosa, regardless of lymph node metastasis (LNM) [8]. At present, endoscopic resection and gastrectomy with D1 or D2 lymphadenectomy are surgical options for treating early gastric cancer. Endoscopic resection of early gastric cancer has become a tread in terms of treatment cost, postoperative complications and quality of life [9-12]. The main risk is lymph node metastasis in gastric cancer at early stage. The incidence of LNM in ECG invaded submucosa is significantly higher than that of gastric cancer confined to the mucosa (0-25% vs. 2%-5%) [13-15]. Therefore, it is crucial to accurately recognize those patients with high risk of LNM when selecting the appropriate curative strategy for patients with ECG.

According to the guidelines for endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) issued by Japan Gastroenterological Endoscopy Society and Japanese Gastric Cancer Association for ECG, there are two indications:

^{*}Correspondence to: Ruihua Shi, Department of Gastroenterology, Zhongda Hospital, Southeast University Affiliated Zhongda Hospital, Dingjiaqiao 87, Gulou District, Nanjing, 210009, Jiangsu Province, People's Republic of China; Tel: +86 13951799326; Fax: +025 83272011; E-mail: ruihuashi@126.com

^{© 2022} Ruihua Shi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. Hosting by Science Repository. http://dx.doi.org/10.31487/j.COR.2022.01.03

absolute indications for standard treatment and expand indications for investigational treatment [16]. However, undifferentiated histology or ulceration, lymphovascular invasion, massive submucosal invasion is discovered in a part of specimen after ESD, which result in beyond the expanded indication [17]. We would update information and discuss the clinical outcomes of endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer under different indications.

Endoscopic Resection (ER)

Endoscopic resection mainly includes Endoscopic Mucosal Resection (EMR) and Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection (ESD). EMR was first used in the treatment of intramucosal gastric cancer but was limited to differentiated cancers without ulceration less than 2cm in size. EMR can be roundly divided into two basic types. Non-suction method includes the submucosal injection-excision method (polypectomy method), submucosal injection-lift-excision method, submucosal injectionprecut-excision method, etc. The suction method includes EMR-cap and EMR with band ligation. ESD is a new technology developed on the basis of EMR. According to lesions at different positions, sizes and depth of infiltration, suitable special electric knives, such as IT knife, Dua knife and Hook knife, are selected to gradually separate the tissues between mucosal musculus propria under endoscopy, and finally completely strip the mucosal layer and submucosal of lesions [18-21]. Although ESD has disadvantages compared to EMR, including higher complication rates, such as delayed bleeding and perforation, ESD has lots of advantages, such as high en bloc rate and accurate histopathological assessment.

Indications for Endoscopic Resection

In terms of indications for EMR and ESD, the main difference between the two methods lies in the size and depth of lesion resection. EMR limited the size of the lesions and only the mucosal lesions that could be excised by en bloc. ESD has no size limitation and can resect SM1 layer lesions. Compared with EMR, ESD has higher rates of en bloc resection and complete resection in the treatment of early gastric cancer as well as recurrence, but higher incidence of complications such as perforation [18, 22]. Nowadays, endoscopic resection is mainly based on the operating guidelines of the Japan Gastroenterological Endoscopy Society (JGES). For tumors that have absolute indication, endoscopic resection is the standard treatment if the risk of lymph node metastasis is less than 1%. Moreover, the long-term outcome is expected to be equivalent to gastrectomy. The tumors eligible for the expanded indication are treated with endoscopy as investigational therapy, meaning that the risk of lymph node metastasis may be less than 1%, but there is insufficient evidence of long-term prognosis after endoscopic resection. Tumors that meet the relative indications refer to those tumors that usually require surgical resection but may be cured by endoscopic resection. Endoscopic resection could be an option when surgical treatment cannot be performed due to various clinical conditions (elderly and high surgical risks with serious complications) [16, 23].

I Absolute Indications for EMR/ESD

Clinically intramucosal (cT1a) differentiated-type carcinomas with a long diameter measuring 2cm or less without ulcer (UL0).

II Absolute Indications for ESD (Table 1)

- UL0 cT1a differentiated-type carcinomas with a long diameter greater than 2cm;
- UL1 cT1a differentiated-type carcinomas with a long diameter measuring 3cm or less;
- UL0 cT1a undifferentiated-type carcinomas with a long diameter than 2cm or less.

Table 1: Absolute indications for endoscopic submucosal dissection according to the Japan Gastroenterological Endoscopy Society (JGES).

Absolute indicat	tions		
Histology	Differentiated	Differentiated	Undifferentiated
Tumor size in cm	Any	≤3	≤2
Ulceration	Negative	Positive	Negative
Depth invasion	cT1a(M)	cT1a(M)	cT1a(M)

cT1a (M): Intramucosal Cancer (preoperative diagnosis).

Evaluation of Curability

En bloc resection refers to continuous monolithic resection after endoscopic resection. Curative resection refers to en bloc resection, lesion size less than 20mm, differentiated type, pT1a, no residual tumor at the margin and lymphovascular invasion. The evaluation of curability depends mainly on local factors and risk factors for lymph node metastasis. There are three levels of curability.

I Endoscopic Curability A (eCuraA): Curative Resection

The resection has the same and better outcome than surgical resection in terms of long-term outcomes. However, there is no prognosis evidence for differentiated carcinomas with undifferentiated components.

II Endoscopic Curability B (eCuraB)

The resection does not have adequate long-term results, but curable outcomes could be expected. However, if there are undifferentiated components in the submucosal infiltration of the lesion, the lesion is considered to be eCuraC-2.

III Endoscopic Curability C (eCuraC)

The resection does not conform to the criterions of eCuraA and B, it is considered to be eCuraC and there is a possibility of tumor residue. When lesions of eCuraC are differentiated and meet other eCuraA or eCuraB criteria but were not resected en bloc or had a positive horizontal margin, they are classified as eCuraC-1. Other lesions of eCuraC are thoughted to be eCuraC-2 (Table 2).

Table 2: Evaluation of curability according to tumor-related factors based on the JGES [16].

	eCuraA			eCuraB	eCuraC-2				
Histology	Differentiated	Differentiated	Undifferentiated	Differentiated	Differentiated	Undifferentiated	Differentiated	Undifferentiated	Any
Size in cm	Any	€3	$\geqslant 2$	€3	>3	>2	>3	Any	Any
Ulceration	Negative	Positive	Negative	Any	Positive	Negative	Any	Positive	Any
Invasion	pT1a(M)	pT1a(M)	pT1a(M)	pT1b1(SM1)	pT1a(M)	pT1a(M)	pT1b1(SM1)	pT1a(M)	pT1b2(SM2)

pT1a (M): Intramucosal cancer (histopathological diagnosis); pT1b (SM): Submucosally invasive cancer (histopathological diagnosis).

Efficacy and Long-Term Outcome of ESD for EGC

A prospective multicenter cohort study analysed 712 patients with 737 EGCs and found 49 patients (6.9%) exhibited delayed bleeding and 12 patients (1.7%) exhibited perforations. ESD is a high curative resection rate for EGCs met absolute indications [24]. A multicenter collaborative study observed the 5-year overall survival rate and the 5-year diseasespecific survival rate in 4648 with absolute-indication lesions and 3056 patients with expanded-indication lesions. This study conducted the 5year overall survival rate was 91.6% after curative resection of absoluteindication lesions and 90.3% after curative resection of expandedindication lesions. The 5-year disease-specific survival rate was 99,9% after curative resection of absolute-indication lesions and 99.7% after curative resection of expanded-indication lesions. Meanwhile, this research found 5 (16%) of 3056 patients with expanded indication lesions that were curatively resected by ESD died of gastric cancer. Moreover, the study compiling and analyzing data on more than 12000 patients from 126 Japanese hospitals showed good short-term and longterm outcomes in patients with EGC after endoscopic treatment [25]. Another multicenter collaborative study in Japan evaluated long-term outcomes of ESD in 6456 patients with 7979 lesions who met the absolute indications for ESD and 4202 patients with 5781 lesions who met the expanded indications for ESD and thought the long-term outcomes of ESD in patients with absolute indication-lesions were equivalent to those of curative surgery and ESD had an extremely low metastatic recurrence in patients who met expanded indications [26].

A prospective multicenter cohort study in South Korea enrolled 697 patients with 722 early gastric cancers, and found complete resection was achieved in 81.3%, curative resection was achieved in 86.1%, the overall survival rate was 96.6% and the disease specific free survival rate was 90.6% during 5-year follow-up. This study revealed excellent longterm clinical outcomes after ESD and recommended ESD can be a curative treatment for patients with EGC who met the expanded criteria [27]. A Western study compiled 53,84 and 27 patients with EGCs respectively met the absolute indications, expanded indications and beyond the expanded indications groups and showed the en bloc resection rate was 98.4% for absolute criteria, 89.0% for expanded criteria lesions and 89.7% for beyond the expanded indications. The curative resection rate was 90.2% for the absolute indication lesions, 73.6% for expanded indication lesions. However, the curative resection rate was 0% for beyond the expanded indication lesions. Among the patients with curative resection, none had local recurrence or metastasis. The local recurrence rate was 4.8% for the expanded indication lesions and 11.1% for beyond the expanded indication lesions. Metastatic rate was 1.2% for patients with expanded indication lesions but 11.1% patients for expanded indication lesions. The metachronous recurrence rate was 7.1% for expanded indication lesions and 7.4% for beyond the expanded indication lesions. But the metachronous recurrence rate was as high as 15.1% for absolute indication lesions. Although the sample size of this study was small, it is considered that ESD could reach high rates of long-term curative treatment for patients with expanded criteria lesions in Western Countries (Table 3) [28].

Table 3: Efficacy and long-term outcome of ESD^a for ECG^b in different indications.

	Fan et al. (2021) [17]			Probst et al.	Probst et al. (2017) [28]		
	ΑI ^c	EI d	BEI e	ΑI°	EI d	BEI e	
Follow-up period Median (mo.)	58.1			51	56	36	
No. of patients	265	137	93	53	84	27	
En bloc resection. %	100.0	100.0	93.0	98.4	89.0	89.7	
Curative resection. %	98.5	97.8	0	90.2	73.6	0	
Local recurrence %	0.4	0	0	0	4.8	11.1	
Metastatic recurrence %	2.5	0.8	0	0	1.2	11.1	
Metachronous lesion %	0.4	0	2.3	15.1	7.1	7.4	

^aESD: Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection; ^bECG: Early Gastric Cancer; ^cAI: Absolute Indication; ^dEI: Expanded Indication; ^eBEI: Beyond the Expanded Indication.

In addition, a retrospective study analysed the short-term and long-term clinical outcomes of ESD met beyond the expanded indication. A total of 265,137 and 93 patients with EGCs respectively met the absolute indications, expanded indications and beyond the expanded indications groups. The patients met the absolute indications and expanded indications were in line with the en bloc resection. The en bloc resection was only 93.0%. The curative resection rate was 98.5% for the absolute indication lesions, 97.8% for expanded indication lesions. However, the curative resection rate was 0% for beyond the expanded indication

lesions. The local recurrence rate was 0.4% for absolute indication lesions, 0% for expanded indication lesions and 0% for beyond the expanded indication lesions. Metastatic rate was 2.5% for absolute indication lesions, 0.8% for expanded indication lesions and 0% for beyond the expanded indication. The metachronous recurrence rate was 0.4% for absolute indication lesions, 0% for expanded indication lesions and 2.3% for beyond the expanded indication lesion (Table 3) [17]. The efficacy for ESD patients beyond the expanded indications groups was

worthy of recognition, but those patients were needed to be carefully checked.

Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection Versus Surgery for EGC

A prospective cohort study assessed the health-related quality of life of 48 EGC patients underwent ESD and 113 EGC patients who underwent surgery. This study observed the surgery group had significantly lower scores than the ESD group for factors except emotional and cognitive functioning, economic problems and anxiety at 1-month post-treatment. In terms of eating restriction, dysphagia, physical functioning, diarrhea and body image, ESD group was significantly better than the surgery group for more than 1-year post-treatment. Moreover, the ESD group had significantly lower treatment-associated complications than the

surgery group (2.1% vs. 15.0%). The 5-year overall survival was not different between both the groups (99.7% vs. 99.1%) [29]. Hahn *et al.* retrospectively compared the clinical between ESD and surgery (817 vs. 1206) and showed that early complication rates 8.1% vs. 18.1%. The incidence of recurrent gastric cancer was 2.18% and 0.19% in the ESD and surgery group, respectively. The 5-year overall and disease specific survival rates were not significantly different between the ESD group and surgery group (96.4% vs. 97.2%; 99.6% vs. 99.2%) (Table 4) [10]. Several meta-analysis lectures showed compared with surgery, ESD can provide better health-related quality of life benefits for EGC patients. Meanwhile, ESD is a treatment with lower cost, less trauma and faster recovery for patients with EGCs. But it is necessary to careful surveillance after ESD [9, 12, 30-32].

Table 4: Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection (ESD) versus surgery for EGC.

	Hahn <i>et al.</i> [10]		Kim et al. [Kim et al. [29]	
	ESD	Surgery	ESD	Surgery	
No. of patients	817	1206	48	113	
Early complication %	8.1	18.1	2.1	15.0	
Recurrence %	2.18	0.19			
5-Year overall survival %	96.4	97.2	99.7	99.1	
5-Year disease-specific survival %	99.6	99.2			

The Efficacy of Non-Curative Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection

For patients with non-curative ESD, the guidelines suggested additional open or laparoscopic surgical resection and lymph node dissection due to the high risk of lymph node metastasis. However, since most patients are elderly and the incidence of postoperative complications is high, additional surgical treatment after ESD is a challenge for both patients and doctors. A retrospective study showed distant metastasis as the common recurrence mold after non-curative ESD without additional radical surgery for EGC [33]. A multicenter study found the local recurrence rate was higher in non-curative resection. But the overall survival rates were respectively 99.4%, 98.9% and 96.8% at 3, 5, and 10 years. The disease-specific survival rates were respectively 99.6%, 99.3% and 99.3% at 3, 5 and 10 years in 661 patients who underwent non-curative resection of ESD [34]. Noboru et al. reported 5-year disease-specific survival rate was similar in the two groups which enrolled 323 patients underwent additional surgery after non-curative ESD and 183 patients without additional surgery after non-curative ESD [35]. Tumor size ≥20mm, positive horizontal margin, lymphovascular invasion and submucosal invasion were risk factors for local recurrence after ESD.

Some patients who do not want to undergo additional surgery because of their advanced age or financial burden can be treated under endoscopic resection again. A study showed when positive lateral margins (pLMs) as the only non-curative factor after ESD for patients with EGCs, additional ESD or APC may be an acceptable treatment for patients who are elderly, intolerance of surgery according to the favourable long-term outcomes [36]. For the elderly, ESD is a good option even for non-curative treatment. Yosuke *et al.* evaluated clinicopathology and long-term outcomes in 87 patients with EGCs aged ≥75 years after non-

curative ESD and found overall survival rates were 89.7% and 79.3% after 3 and 5 years, respectively [37].

Conclusion

ESD could be a preferred treatment for patients who met absolute or expanded indications, but regular and close follow-up examinations are needed, especially for patients with expanded indications. However, a more precise assessment of long-term outcomes requires prospective multicenter studies. Patients with undifferentiated type EGC may have better long-term outcomes after curative resection. For non-curative ESD with high risk of lymph node metastasis, additional surgical resection with lymph node dissection should be recommended.

Conflicts of Interest

None.

Funding

None.

REFERENCES

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I et al. (2021) Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA Cancer J Clin 71: 209-249. [Crossref]
- Hamashima C, Narisawa R, Ogoshi K, Kato T and Fujita K (2017)
 Optimal interval of endoscopic screening based on stage distributions of detected gastric cancers. BMC Cancer 17: 740-748. [Crossref]

- Minicozzi P, Innos K, Sanchez MJ, Trama A, Walsh PM et al. (2017)
 Quality analysis of population-based information on cancer stage at
 diagnosis across Europe, with presentation of stage-specific cancer
 survival estimates: A EUROCARE-5 study. Eur J Cancer 84: 335-353.
 [Crossref]
- Isomoto H, Shikuwa S, Yamaguchi N, Fukuda E, Ikeda K et al. (2009) Endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer: a largescale feasibility study. Gut 58: 331-336. [Crossref]
- Kim YG, Kong SH, Oh SY, Lee KG, Suh YS et al. (2014) Effects of screening on gastric cancer management: comparative analysis of the results in 2006 and in 2011. J Gastric Cancer 14: 129-134. [Crossref]
- Kim Y, Jun JK, Choi KS, Lee HY, Park EC (2011) Overview of the National Cancer Screening Programme and the Cancer Screening Status in Korea. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 12: 725-730. [Crossref]
- Hamashima C, Fukao A (2016) Quality assurance manual of endoscopic screening for gastric cancer in Japanese communities. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 46: 1053-1061. [Crossref]
- Japanese Gastric Cancer Association (2011) Japanese classification of gastric carcinoma: 3rd English edition. Gastric Cancer 14: 101-112. [Crossref]
- Gu L, Khadaroo PA, Chen L, Li X, Zhu H et al. (2019) Comparison of Long-Term Outcomes of Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection and Surgery for Early Gastric Cancer: a Systematic Review and Metaanalysis. J Gastrointest Surg 23: 1493-1501. [Crossref]
- Hahn KY, Park CH, Lee YK, Chung H, Park JC et al. (2018) Comparative study between endoscopic submucosal dissection and surgery in patients with early gastric cancer. Surg Endosc 32: 73-86.
 [Crossref]
- 11. Lin JP, Zhang YP, Xue M, Chen SJ and Si JM (2015) Endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer in elderly patients: a meta-analysis. *World J Surg Oncol* 13: 293. [Crossref]
- Liu Q, Ding L, Qiu X, Meng F (2020) Updated evaluation of endoscopic submucosal dissection versus surgery for early gastric cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Surg* 73: 28-41.
- Kwee RM and Kwee TC (2008) Predicting lymph node status in early gastric cancer. Gastric Cancer 11: 134-148. [Crossref]
- Lee KS, Oh DK, Han MA, Lee HY, Jun JK et al. (2011) Gastric cancer screening in Korea: report on the national cancer screening program in 2008. Cancer Res Treat 43: 83-88. [Crossref]
- Yang Q, Feng S, Liu H, Zhang X, Cao J et al. (2021) Clinicopathological features and lymph node metastasis risk in early gastric cancer with WHO criteria in China: 304 cases analysis. *Ann Diagn Pathol* 50: 151652. [Crossref]
- Ono H, Yao K, Fujishiro M, Oda I, Uedo N et al. (2021) Guidelines for endoscopic submucosal dissection and endoscopic mucosal resection for early gastric cancer (second edition). *Dig Endosc* 33: 4-20. [Crossref]
- 17. Fan T, Sun Q, Cao S, Fan X, Huang Q et al. (2021) Clinical outcomes of early gastric cardiac cancer treated with endoscopic submucosal dissection in patients with different indications. *BMC Gastroenterol* 21: 119. [Crossref]
- Gotoda T (2006) Endoscopic resection of early gastric cancer: the Japanese perspective. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 22: 561-569. [Crossref]
- Landin MD, Guerron AD (2020) Endoscopic Mucosal Resection and Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection. Surg Clin North Am 100: 1069-1078. [Crossref]

- Nishizawa T and Yahagi N (2017) Endoscopic mucosal resection and endoscopic submucosal dissection: technique and new directions. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 33: 315-319. [Crossref]
- Chandrasekhara V, Jr Sigmon JC, Surti VC, Kochman ML (2013) A novel gel provides durable submucosal cushion for endoscopic mucosal resection and endoscopic submucosal dissection. Surg Endosc 27: 3039-3042. [Crossref]
- Nakamoto S, Sakai Y, Kasanuki J, Kondo F, Ooka Y et al. (2009) Indications for the use of endoscopic mucosal resection for early gastric cancer in Japan: a comparative study with endoscopic submucosal dissection. *Endoscopy* 41: 746-750. [Crossref]
- Japanese Gastric Cancer Association (2021) Japanese gastric cancer treatment guidelines 2018 (5th edition). Gastric Cancer 24: 1-21. [Crossref]
- Choi IJ, Lee NR, Kim SG, Lee WS, Park SJ et al. (2016) Short-Term Outcomes of Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection in Patients with Early Gastric Cancer: A Prospective Multicenter Cohort Study. Gut Liver 10: 739-748. [Crossref]
- Tanabe S, Hirabayashi S, Oda I, Ono H, Nashimoto A et al. (2017)
 Gastric cancer treated by endoscopic submucosal dissection or
 endoscopic mucosal resection in Japan from 2004 through 2006: JGCA
 nationwide registry conducted in 2013. Gastric Cancer 20: 834-842.
 [Crossref]
- Tanabe S, Ishido K, Matsumoto T, Kosaka T, Oda I et al. (2017) Longterm outcomes of endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer: a multicenter collaborative study. *Gastric Cancer* 20: 45-52.
 [Crossref]
- Kim SG, Park CM, Lee NR, Kim J, Lyu DH et al. (2018) Long-Term Clinical Outcomes of Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection in Patients with Early Gastric Cancer: A Prospective Multicenter Cohort Study. Gut Liver 12: 402-410. [Crossref]
- Probst A, Schneider A, Schaller T, Anthuber M, Ebigbo A et al. (2017)
 Endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer: are expanded resection criteria safe for Western patients? *Endoscopy* 49: 855-865. [Crossref]
- Kim YI, Kim YA, Kim CG, Ryu KW, Kim YW et al. (2018) Serial intermediate-term quality of life comparison after endoscopic submucosal dissection versus surgery in early gastric cancer patients. Surg Endosc 32: 2114-2122. [Crossref]
- Abdelfatah MM, Barakat M, Ahmad D, Ibrahim M, Ahmed Y et al. (2019) Long-term outcomes of endoscopic submucosal dissection versus surgery in early gastric cancer: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 31: 418-424. [Crossref]
- Hu J, Zhao Y, Ren M, Li Y, Lu X et al. (2018) The Comparison between Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection and Surgery in Gastric Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Gastroenterol Res Pract 2018: 4378945. [Crossref]
- Li H, Feng LQ, Bian YY, Yang LL, Liu DX et al. (2019) Comparison
 of endoscopic submucosal dissection with surgical gastrectomy for
 early gastric cancer: An updated meta-analysis. World J Gastrointest
 Oncol 11: 161-171. [Crossref]
- 33. Takizawa K, Hatta W, Gotoda T, Kawata N, Nakagawa M et al. (2019) Recurrence Patterns and Outcomes of Salvage Surgery in Cases of Non-Curative Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection without Additional Radical Surgery for Early Gastric Cancer. *Digestion* 99: 52-58. [Crossref]

- Lee SH, Kim MC, Jeon SW, Lee KN, Park JJ et al. (2020) Risk Factors and Clinical Outcomes of Non-Curative Resection in Patients with Early Gastric Cancer Treated with Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection: A Retrospective Multicenter Study in Korea. Clin Endosc 53: 196-205.
- Kawata N, Kakushima N, Takizawa K, Tanaka M, Makuuchi R et al. (2017) Risk factors for lymph node metastasis and long-term outcomes of patients with early gastric cancer after non-curative endoscopic submucosal dissection. Surg Endosc 31: 1607-1616. [Crossref]
- 36. Kim TS, Min BH, Min YW, Lee H, Rhee PL et al. (2021) Long-term Outcomes of Additional Endoscopic Treatments for Patients with Positive Lateral Margins after Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection for Early Gastric Cancer. Gut Liver. [Crossref]
- 37. Toya Y, Endo M, Nakamura S, Akasaka R, Yanai S et al. (2019) Long-term outcomes and prognostic factors with non-curative endoscopic submucosal dissection for gastric cancer in elderly patients aged >/=75 years. *Gastric Cancer* 22: 838-844. [Crossref]