

Available online at [www.sciencerepository.org](http://www.sciencerepository.org)

Science Repository



## Editorial

# Word processing defects in Chinese developmental dyslexia

Wangchao<sup>1</sup>, Enguo Wang<sup>2\*</sup> and Tian Yuan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Wuhan University School of the Arts, Wuhan 430000

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Psychology and Behavior, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 15 June, 2018

Accepted 4 July, 2018

Published 28 July, 2018

#### Keywords:

Word

pseudo-word

dyslexia

### ABSTRACT

Chinese as an ideogram is different from phonetic writing system, and the children who use Chinese may not exist reading disorder problem. Chinese word Semantic processing provides a wealth of experimental material for the study of language processing differences, comparing the semantic processing mechanism of brain of dyslexia children in Chinese and English helps to further clarify the common defects of dyslexia children between different languages. Discovering the processing differences between real words and pseudo-words is important for understanding the reading disturbances in dyslexia. The differences between the dyslexic and control groups were mainly in the N130, RP, N400, and P600 components. The results suggest that Chinese dyslexic children have semantic processing defects.

© 2018 Enguo Wang. Hosting by Science Repository.

## Editorial

Dyslexia is a specific developmental disorder in learning to read and is not the direct result of impairments in general intelligence, gross neurological deficits, uncorrected visual or auditory problems, emotional disturbances, or inadequate schooling. Over the years, there has been increasing evidence that dyslexic readers have impairments in several systems relevant to reading [1-5]. Many studies suggest that the main source of their word decoding deficits lies in the difficulties of the phonological system, which is responsible for the use of the sound structure of language to process written and spoken language [6-8]. Discovering the processing differences between real words and pseudo-words is important for understanding the reading disturbances in dyslexia. Reading pseudo-words requires phonological decoding, whereas reading regular or real words relies on the orthographic presentation of the visual form of the letters [2]. There is a large body of

evidence on problems encountered by dyslexic children in phonological awareness tasks including grapheme-to-phoneme conversion [9].

Discovering the processing differences between real words and pseudo-words is important for understanding the reading disturbances in dyslexia. Reading pseudo-words requires phonological decoding, whereas reading regular or real words relies on the orthographic presentation of the visual form of the letters [2]. There is a large body of evidence on problems encountered by dyslexic children in phonological awareness tasks including grapheme-to-phoneme conversion [9-11].

When examining the semantic processing of dyslexic children, the most commonly used ERP indicators are the recognition potential (RP), N400, and P600 components. The morphological identification of familiar words induces a peak in the range of 200–250 ms in the positive wave, and this component is called the RP. In addition to the importance of the RP in shape recognition, the consistency of its response with the

\*Correspondence to Enguo Wang, Institute of Psychology and Behavior Henan University, Kaifeng 475004; Tel: +86 037123881801, +8613460750988; Fax: +86037123881801; E-mail address: [enguwang@126.com](mailto:enguwang@126.com)

expected stimulus is also important [12-14]. The N400 component was first described by Kutas and Hillyard (1980) and is generally considered to reflect an early stage of processing and the semantic integration of relevant information [15]. Subsequently, many studies found that the N400 effect could not only be elicited by the processing of sentence but also by the processing of real and pseudo words [15-19]. The P600 was first discovered by Osterhout and Holcomb (1992) [20]. It was initially thought that the P600 component showed specific wave abnormalities during syntactic processing, which reflects the process of syntactic reanalysis. However, in recent years, some studies have found that semantic violations within a sentence can lead to a P600 effect [21-24]. After this phenomenon was found, it prompted researchers to re-interpret the meaning of the P600. Although, N400 effects in Chinese dyslexic children have been reported, most of these studies adopted the ambiguous sentences as stimuli [25]. It may need a further discussion about whether the N400 effects would still exist when the Chinese two-character words are used as the experimental materials. Meanwhile, the semantic processing of Chinese dyslexic children and normal children in terms of the RP and P600 are unknown. Are Chinese words with phonetic recognition processed along similar time courses in both groups of children? Solving these problems requires more research on Chinese semantic processing. Examining differences in language processing between children with dyslexia and normal children may help reveal the different types of defects exhibited by children with dyslexia.

Chinese as an ideogram is different from phonetic writing system, and the children who use Chinese may not exist reading disorder problem [26]. Stevenson (1982) conducted across language researches in Japan, Taiwan and the United States, respectively and results showed that the incidence rate of dyslexia in three places have no significant difference and three incidence rates were 5.4%, 7.5% and 5.4%, respectively. The results confirmed by the subsequent researches, since then, people have a new understanding on the relationship between dyslexia and language [27]. The explanation of Chinese dyslexia mainly divided into language specificity theory and non-verbal theory, and non-verbal theory involves some general cognitive ability defects of dyslexia children, such as working memory, attention, executive function, etc. Language specificity theory refers to verbal information representation and processing of dyslexia children, including phonetic defects, surface defects and deep defects three theories [27]. We aimed to study the time course and between-group variations in different stages of word/pseudo-word processing, lexical decision making, and response choice in Chinese-speaking dyslexics and controls by recording ERPs and behavioral measures such as response time (RT) and response accuracy.

Eighteen dyslexic children (ages 12~14) and 18 matched control children were tested, and the event-related potentials (ERPs) to real words and pseudo-words were recorded simultaneously with behavioral measures [28]. The N130 is a negative, early semantic processing stage component with a latency of 100–150 ms. Although the amplitude of N130s did not differ between the dyslexia group and control group, the latency was significantly delayed in the dyslexia group compared to the control group in the pseudo-word judgment condition. These results suggest the existence of early word recognition defects in dyslexic children. The N400 component has previously been shown to reflect sentence processing and semantic integration. In this study, using Chinese double words, we also found significant N400 effects. What is

more, the amplitude of the N400 component during both the word and pseudo-word conditions in the dyslexia group was significantly higher, while the N400 latency in the dyslexia group was significantly delayed. The results also showed that in the pseudo-word recognition, the amplitude of the N400 component in dyslexic children was significantly higher. Consistent with previous findings, these results suggest that pseudo-word recognition under conditions of semantic processing took more time for participants in the dyslexia group than for participants in the control group, which indicate that children with dyslexia may need to devote more cognitive resources when recognizing words, thus implying there is a semantic integration defect in dyslexic children [29-32]. Chinese word recognition is similar to the word recognition in other phonetic languages. The present study found that when presented with the same recognition task, both normal and dyslexic Chinese children showed P600 effects. We also found that in the dyslexia group, the pseudo-word condition was associated with a longer latency and lower amplitude compared to the control group. It is generally believed that the P600 component reflects the later stages of semantic integration and decision processes. This may be due to post-processing difficulties and flawed semantic integration in these children during reading, with fewer resources being available for a longer duration. The dyslexic group was impaired in the later cognitive stages of lexical decision-making and response-choice processes. As ideographic characters and phonetic system similarities, there are semantic processing defects in Chinese dyslexic children.

### Acknowledgments

The National Natural Science Foundation provided funding (31371051). Henan Science and Technology Project (182102410006). Henan Province higher education philosophy and social science research outstanding scholar(2018-YXXXZ-03).

### REFERENCES

1. Bonte ML, Poelmans H, Blomert L (2007) Deviant neurophysiological responses to phonological regularities in speech in dyslexic children. *Neuropsychologia* 45: 1427-1437. [[Crossref](#)]
2. Shaul S, Arzouan Y, Goldstein A (2012) Brain activity while reading words and pseudo-words: A comparison between dyslexic and fluent readers. *Int J Psychophysiol* 84: 270-276. [[Crossref](#)]
3. Breznitz Z (2003) Speed of phonological and orthographic processing as factors in dyslexia: electrophysiological evidence. *Genet Soc Gen Psychol Monogr* 129: 183-206. [[Crossref](#)]
4. Csépe V, Szűcs D, Honbolygó F (2003) Number-word reading as challenging task in dyslexia? An ERP study. *Int J Psychophysiol* 51: 69-83. [[Crossref](#)]
- David WC, Byron PR (2003) Learning-disabled Brains: A Review of the Literature. *J Clin Experimental Neuropsychology* 25:1011-1034.
5. Schulte-Körne G, Bruder J (2010) Clinical neurophysiology of visual and auditory processing in dyslexia: A review. *Clin Neurophysiol* 121: 1794-1809. [[Crossref](#)]
6. Sereno SC, Rayner K, Posner MI (1998) Establishing a time-line of word recognition: evidence from eye movements and event-related potentials. *Neuroreport* 9: 2195-2200. [[Crossref](#)]
7. Shu H, McBride-Chang C, Wu S, Liu HY (2006) Understanding Chinese developmental dyslexia: Morphological awareness as a core cognitive construct. *J Educational Psychology* 98: 122-133.

8. Meyler A, Breznitz Z (2005) Visual, auditory and cross-modal processing of linguistic and nonlinguistic temporal patterns among adult dyslexic readers. *Dyslexia* 11: 93-115. [[Crossref](#)]
9. Nicolson RII, Fawcett AJ, Dean P. (2001) Developmental dyslexia: the cerebellar deficit hypothesis. *Trends Neurosci* 24: 508-511. [[Crossref](#)]
10. Nobre AC, McCarthy G (1995) Language-related field potentials in the anterior-medial temporal lobe : II. Effects of word type and semantic priming. *J Neurosci* 15: 1090-1198. [[Crossref](#)]
11. Rudell AP (1990) The recognition potential : a visual response evoked by recognizable images. *Neurosci Abstract* 16: 106.
12. Rudell AP (1991) The recognition potential contrasted with the P300. *Int J Neurosci* 60: 85-111. [[Crossref](#)]
13. Rudell AP, Hu B (2000) Behavioral and Brain Wave Evidence for Automatic Processing of Orthographically Regular Letter Strings. *Brain Lang* 75: 137-152. [[Crossref](#)]
14. Kutas M, Hillyard SA (1980) Reading senseless sentences: brain potential reflects semantic incongruity. *Science* 207: 203-205. [[Crossref](#)]
15. Shu H, Bai XL, Han ZZ, Bi YC (2003) Lexical Representation and Processing Theory and evidence of cognitive neuropsychology. *Chinese J Applied Psychology* 9: 41-45.
16. Kuperberg GR (2007) Neural mechanisms of language comprehension: challenges to syntax. *Brain Res* 1146: 23-49. [[Crossref](#)]
17. Maganioti AE, Hountala CD, Papageorgiou CC, Kyprianou MA, Rabavilas AD, et al. (2010) Principal component analysis of the P600 waveform: RF and gender effects. *Neurosci Lett* 478: 19-23. [[Crossref](#)]
18. Kim A, Sikos L (2011) Conflict and surrender during sentence processing: An ERP study of syntax-semantics interaction. *Brain Lang* 118: 15-22. [[Crossref](#)]
19. Schacht A, Sommer W, Shmuilovich O, Martienz PC, Martín-Loeches M (2014) Differential Task Effects on N400 and P600 Elicited by Semantic and Syntactic Violations. *PLoS One* 9: e91226. [[Crossref](#)]
20. Osterhout L, Holcomb P (1992) Event-related brain potentials elicited by syntactic anomaly. *J Memory Lang* 31: 785-806.
21. Kuperberg GR, Sitnikova T, Caplan D, Holcomb PJ (2003) Electrophysiological distinctions in processing conceptual relationships within simple sentences. *Brain Res Cogn Brain Res* 17: 117-129. [[Crossref](#)]
22. Kutas M, Federmeier KD (2011) Thirty Years and Counting: Finding Meaning in the N400 Component of the Event-Related Brain Potential (ERP). *Annu Rev Psychol* 62: 621-647. [[Crossref](#)]
23. Kolk HH, Chwilla DJ, van Herten M, Oor PJ (2003) Structure and limited capacity in verbal working memory: a study with event-related potentials. *Brain Lang* 85: 1-36. [[Crossref](#)]
24. Hoeks JC, Stowe LA, Doedens G (2004) Seeing words in context: the interaction of lexical and sentence level information during reading. *Brain Res Cogn Brain Res* 19: 59-73. [[Crossref](#)]
25. Zhou XL, Meng XZ, Chen YZ (2002) Functional brain imaging studies of developmental dyslexia. *Chinese J Neurosci* 18: 568-572.
26. Wang EG, Zhao GX, Liu C, Lv Y, Shen,D.L.(2008) Different types of learning difficulty youth there are different types of working memory defects. *Chinese science bulletin* 53: 1673-1679
27. Wang E, Qin S, Chang M, Zhu X (2014) Digital memory encoding in Chinese dyscalculia : An event-related potential study. *Res Dev Disabil* 36: 142-149. [[Crossref](#)]
28. Wang EG, Zhou GY, Wang YF, Hou YB, Liu JP (2017) Word recognition semantic processing defects in Chinese children with developmental dyslexia: An event-related potential study. *NeuroQuantology* 5 : 221-231.
29. Dujardin T, Etienne Y, Contentin C, Bernard C, Largy P, et al. (2011) Behavioral performances in participants with phonological dyslexia and different patterns on the N170 component. *Brain Cogn* 75: 91-100. [[Crossref](#)]
30. Spironelli C, Angrilli A (2009) Developmental aspects of automatic word processing: language lateralization of early ERP components in children, young adults and middle-aged subjects. *Biol Psychol* 80: 35-45. [[Crossref](#)]
31. Mechelli A, Gorno-Tempini ML, Price CJ (2003) Neuroimaging studies of word and pseudo-word reading: consistencies, inconsistencies and limitations. *J Cogn Neurosci* 15: 260-271. [[Crossref](#)]
32. Lv C, Wang (2012) Font effects of Chinese characters and pseudo-characters on the N400: Evidence for an orthographic processing view. *Brain Cogn* 80: 96-103. [[Crossref](#)]