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ABSTRACT

A simple, specific, accurate and precise spectrophotometric method was settled for simultaneous determination of paracetamol and orphenadrine citrate in their pure form and in their pharmaceutical formulation. Isoabsorptive point technique has been used in simultaneous determination of both drugs without prior separation. Isoabsorptive point method parameters were validated according to ICH guidelines in which accuracy, precision, repeatability and robustness were found in accepted limits. Advantages and disadvantages of Isoabsorptive point were discussed and statistical comparison between the proposed method and the reference one was also performed.

INTRODUCTION

Paracetamol (PAR); N-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)acetamide (Figure 1) is related to a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) which acts centrally and peripherally for treatment of non-inflammatory conditions in patients with gastric symptoms [1]. Orphenadrine citrate (ORP); (±)-N,N-Dimethyl-2-[(o-methyl-a-phenylbenzyl) oxy] ethylamine citrate (Figure 1) is a skeletal muscle relaxant which acts centrally by depressing a specific neurons in the nervous system so that impulses of the somatic nerves can’t be generated [1]. The combination of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug and a skeletal muscle relaxant is better than single agents alone [2]. ORP can be used in combination with PAR as it prolongs and increases its antinociceptive effect [1]. The literature revealed that several methods have been carried out for the analysis of PAR and ORP in their mixture form or in their combination with other drugs. PAR & ORP were determined by spectrophotometric methods, HPLC methods, TLC and microemulsion HPLC method and square wave voltammetric method [1, 3-13]. To the best of our knowledge, there is no reported method for the determination of this drug mixture using Isoabsorptive point technique. As such, the aim of work is to develop a spectrophotometric method which is accurate, fast and non-complicated for determination of PAR & ORP combination without the interference of their additives or their excipients in pharmaceutical formulations.

Figure 1: Chemical structures of paracetamol (PAR) and orphenadrine citrate (ORP).

EXPERIMENT

I Apparatus

JASCO dual beam UV-visible spectrophotometer model V-630 (Japan), connected to an ACER compatible computer with spectra manager II software was used. The spectral slit width was 2 nm and it could scan at speed up to 8000 nm/min. All the measurements were carried out in 1
cm quartz cell over wavelength range of 200-400 nm at room temperature.

II Materials and Reagents

i Pure Standards

PAR and ORP were obtained as a gift from Egyptian International Pharmaceutical Industries Co. (EIPICO), located in 10th of Ramadan city, Egypt. Their purity was reported to be 99.50% and 99.70%, respectively.

ii Pharmaceutical Formulations

Orphenadrine plus® tablets were obtained from the market (label claim: Orphenadrine citrate 50 mg and Paracetamol 450 mg) manufactured by Alexandria Co., Egypt.

iii Solvents

HPLC grade Methanol was obtained from LiChrosolv, Merck KGaA, 64271 Darmstadt Germany. All of measurements were carried out by using 90% Methanol (HPLC grade methanol: Distilled water 9:1).

iv Standard Solutions

PAR and ORP stock standard solutions of 1 mg/mL were prepared in 90% methanol. PAR working standard solutions of 40 µg/mL were prepared in 90% methanol while ORP working standard solutions of 50 µg/mL were prepared by dilution from the stock solution with 90% methanol.

v Laboratory Prepared Mixtures

Solutions of different ratios of PAR & ORP were prepared by transferring accurate aliquots from their standard solutions to 10 mL volumetric flasks and then diluting with 90% methanol.

III Procedure

i Construction of Calibration Curves

For PAR, Working solutions equivalent to (4-22 µg/mL) were prepared by adding aliquots (1, 1.50, 2, 2.50, 3, 3.50, 4, 4.50, 5, 5.50 mL) of PAR working standard solution (40 µg/mL) to a series of 10 mL volumetric flasks and diluting with 90% methanol. For ORP, Working solutions equivalent to (5-50 µg/mL) were prepared by adding aliquots (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 mL) of ORP working standard solution (50 µg/mL) to a series of 10 mL volumetric flasks and diluting with 90% methanol. The absorption spectra were measured at room temperature over the wavelength (200-400 nm) for all measurements.

For Isoabsorptive Point Method

A calibration curve was constructed relating absorbance of the zero-order spectra of PAR at \( \lambda = 280 \) nm (Plateau region of ORP in which its absorbance is zero) and the zero-order spectra of PAR and ORP at \( \lambda = 211 \) nm (Isoabsorptive point) to their corresponding concentrations in µg/mL (Figure 2, 3) then the regression equation was computed. For PAR quantitation, Plateau region (\( \lambda = 280 \) nm) was applied for estimation of the concentration of PAR. For ORP quantitation, isoabsorptive method was applied for estimation of total concentration of PAR and ORP. Absorption spectra of 20 µg/mL of PAR, of 20 µg/mL of ORP, and of a mixture containing 10 µg/mL of each of PAR and ORP displayed isoabsorptive point at 211 nm point in the absorption spectrum, the total concentration of PAR and ORP in the mixture could be determined and consequently ORP concentration was calculated by subtraction of PAR concentrations. The total concentration of PAR and ORP could be calculated using the following equation:

\[
A_{211} = 0.0485C + 0.0427 \quad (r=0.9994).
\]

where \( C \) represents the concentration of total concentration of PAR and ORP in µg/mL, \( A \) represents the absorbance of PAR or ORP at 211 nm, and \( r \) represents the correlation coefficient. The major limitation of this method is that it needs a plateau region or a complementary analytical method for determination of one of the analytes in the mixture.

Figure 2: Zero absorption spectrum of 20 µg/mL OPR overlaid with 20 µg/mL PAR and a mixture of 10 µg/mL ORP & 10 µg/mL PAR revealed that 211 nm is an isoabsorptive point and that ORP has no absorbance at 280 nm.

Figure 3: Zero absorption spectra of PAR overlaid with zero absorption spectra of ORP.

ii Analysis of Laboratory Prepared Mixtures

After preparation of different ratios of laboratory prepared mixtures, the spectra of these mixtures were measured and treated in the same way as described under the proposed methods.
iii Application to Pharmaceutical Formulation

10 Tablets of Orphenadrine plus® were weighed and crushed then an amount equivalent to 50 mg PAR and 5.55 mg ORP in each tablet was transferred into a 50 mL volumetric flask and diluted with 90% methanol as follows: First, 30 mL of 90% methanol were added and sonicated then dilution was carried out to the mark and filtered. Second, 10 mL of the dilution was transferred into a 100 mL volumetric flask to give a concentration equivalent to 100 µg/mL PAR and 11.11 µg/mL ORP. Third, any further dilutions were done in 10 mL volumetric flasks and treated in the same way as described under the proposed methods.

Results and Discussion

I Method Optimization

Two major problems were found during the analysis of PAR & ORP binary mixture; first, the overlapped spectra between the absorptivities of the drugs, and second, PAR, the major constituent in the dosage forms, had unfortunately high absorbance, while ORP the minor component in the dosage forms, had low absorbance values. As such, sample enrichment technique was used in which the concentration of the minor component ORP in its binary mixture was increased to facilitate its determination [14]. This was done by the addition of fixed amount of standard ORP to each experiment when combined with PAR, then subtracting its concentration before calculating the claimed concentration of the drug. Sample enrichment technique was used to solve the same problem for analyzing other drug mixtures of different drug ratios [15, 16].

Isoabsorptive Point Method

211 and 280 nm absorbances were used for determination of PAR & ORP in presence of each other. The calibration curves revealed accepted linear relationships between concentrations and absorbance in a range of 4-22 µg/mL for PAR and 5-50 µg/mL for ORP with correlation coefficients of ≥ 0.9990 for both drugs. The accuracy of the method illustrated accepted values with 100.36% ± 1.08 for PAR and 101.41% ± 0.59 for ORP. The specificity of the methods demonstrated accepted values with 100.82% ± 1.02 for PAR and 100.85% ± 1.20 for ORP. The results are detailed in (Table 1). Isoabsorptive point is very easy and simple as it depends on zero absorption spectra without the need of extra processing. On the other hand, it has two limitations; one is the need for some specific calculations to determine the values of Isoabsorptive point and the other is requiring more time for performing the standard addition on each mixture.

Table 1: Assay parameters and validation results obtained by applying Isoabsorptive assay spectrophotometric method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixture</th>
<th>PAR &amp; ORP</th>
<th>Wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>Linearity range (µg/mL)</th>
<th>Intercept</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient (r)</th>
<th>Accuracy (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Precision (±% RSD)</th>
<th>Repeatability</th>
<th>Intermediate precision</th>
<th>Specificity (Mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ORP</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>5-50</td>
<td>0.0427</td>
<td>0.0485</td>
<td>0.9994</td>
<td>101.41 ± 0.59</td>
<td>100.36 ± 1.08</td>
<td>101.81 ± 0.73</td>
<td>99.73 ± 0.88</td>
<td>100.85 ± 1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAR</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>4-22</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>0.0153</td>
<td>0.9996</td>
<td>100.17 ± 1.02</td>
<td>99.55 ± 0.77</td>
<td>99.80 ± 0.32</td>
<td>99.55 ± 0.77</td>
<td>100.17 ± 1.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II Method validation

All methods were validated according to ICH guidelines [17]. The linear regression data for the calibration curve showed good linear relationship (Table 1). The accuracy was calculated by analyzing the standard addition where satisfactory results were obtained as shown in (Table 1). The specificity of the method was calculated by assaying the laboratory prepared mixtures of PAR & ORP within the linearity range and good results were obtained (Table 1). The intra- and inter-day precisions were calculated by the analysis of 3 different concentrations of the drugs 3 times on the same day and on 3 successive days (Table 1).

III Application to Pharmaceutical Formulation

The proposed method was successfully applied for determination of PAR and ORP in their pharmaceutical formulation (Orphenadrine plus® tablets). The results were acceptable and with sufficient agreement with the labelled amounts. The standard addition technique was applied and showed that no interference of the excipients was observed (Table 2).
IV Statistical Analysis

Statistical comparison of the proposed method was performed through One-way ANOVA method by using PASW statistics 18® software program in which there was no significant difference between the proposed method and the reference method [4] as shown in (Table 3).

Table 3: Statistical comparison of the results obtained by the proposed method and the reference method using One-way ANOVA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tablets</th>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orphenadrine</td>
<td>PAR</td>
<td>.077</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.077</td>
<td>.040</td>
<td>.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tablets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orphenadrine</td>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>7.619</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.905</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ORP</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7.697</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.470</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>2.522</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.522</td>
<td>1.716</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>5.880</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8.402</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

Isoabsorptive point method was successfully applied for the determination of paracetamol and orphenadrine citrate in their binary mixtures and in their dosage form. The proposed method is simple, sensitive and accurate and could be used for routine analysis by using simple technology or instruments. By comparison with the previous reported methods, it was concluded that Isoabsorptive point method does not require extra processing but it may need a plateau region or a complementary technique for determination of one of the analytes. Statistical comparison revealed that there is no observed significant difference between the proposed method and the reference one.
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