

Available online at www.sciencerepository.org

Science Repository



Research Article

Impact of Persistent N2 Disease and Lymph Node Ratio on Oncological Outcomes after Multimodal Treatment in Pre-Operative Histologically Proven N2 Disease Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer

Stefano Bongiolatti¹, Francesca Mazzoni², Alessandro Gonfiotti¹, Alberto Salvicchi^{1*}, Domenico Viggiano¹, Katia Ferrari³, Vieri Scotti⁴ and Luca Voltolini¹

¹Thoracic Surgery Unit, Careggi University Hospital, Florence, Italy ²Medical Oncology Unit, Careggi University Hospital, Florence, Italy ³Respiratory Medicine, Careggi University Hospital, Florence, Italy ⁴Department of Radiation Oncology, Careggi University Hospital, Florence, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: 5 April, 2021 Accepted: 26 April, 2021 Published: 31 May, 2021 Keywords: Lymph node ratio persistent N2 disease multimodal treatment stage IIIA-B (N2) non-small-cell lung cancer neoadjuvant therapy

$A\,B\,S\,T\,R\,A\,C\,T$

Objective: The objectives of our retrospective analysis were to estimate the oncological long-term results of patients with ypN2 and to evaluate the impact of lymph node ratio (LNR) on overall (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS).

Methods: We analysed all consecutive patients (n=85) undergoing neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) and surgery for pre-operative pathologically proven stage IIIA-B (N2) NSCLC from 2014 to 2020. Median LNR (0.29 or 29%) was selected as threshold for grouping. Survival was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method. Cox regression was used to test the association between OS, DFS and covariates.

Results: Post-operative mortality was 3.5%. The median follow-up was 21 months (range 6-69 months). The 5-year OS and DFS of the cohort were 41% and 20%. Patients with LNR>0.29 (n=13; 15.3%) showed a trend toward worse survival than patients with LNR0 (n=44; 51.8%) with a 5-year OS of 56% VS 14% (p=0.077), confirmed as a trend at the multivariable analysis (HR 2.28; p=0.066). At the univariate analysis a worse DFS was observed for ypN2 patients (n=58; 68.2%) compared with nodal downstaging (46% vs 25% 3-year DFS, p=0.039). DFS was different according to LNR: 3-year DFS was 14% in patients with LNR>0.29 while it reached 44% in patients with LNR 0 (p=0.043) and 62% in LNR<0.29 (p=0.03). LNR>0.29 was the only significant predictor (HR 2.89; p=0.047) of reduced DFS at the multivariable analysis.

Conclusion: patients with ypN2 disease after NAC showed acceptable oncological outcomes and this finding is true for patients with low burden of nodal disease assessed by LNR.

© 2021 Alberto Salvicchi. Hosting by Science Repository.

Introduction

Multimodality treatment is currently the standard of care for resectable non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with ipsilateral mediastinal lymph node metastasis (N2 disease). In this setting, international guidelines suggest the use of chemotherapy, radiation therapy and surgery, but the combination and timing of these modalities are still matter of controversy, particularly regarding the indications to surgery, in part due to the well-known heterogeneity of the stage III (N2) NSCLC [1-4]. Some prognostic factors have been identified including the persistence of N2 disease that had a strong negative impact on overall (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) and surgery is usually reserved for patients experiencing a mediastinal downstaging after induction therapy [5-8]. However, clinical restaging is often inaccurate leading to perform surgery in patients with persistent N2 disease, and some of these patients

^{*}Correspondence to: Alberto Salvicchi, M.D., Thoracic Surgery Unit, Careggi University Hospital, Largo Brambilla 1, 50134, Florence, Italy; Tel: +39557946807; Fax: +39557949557; E-mail: alberto.salvicchi@libero.it

^{© 2021} Alberto Salvicchi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. Hosting by Science Repository. http://dx.doi.org/10.31487/j.COR.2021.05.03

may benefit from surgery, even if we do not know how to identify them before the procedure [5, 7-12].

To better define the "burden of nodal disease", a new subclassification of nodal involvement has been proposed in the latest staging system which considers both the localization and the amount of N2 disease, but it has not been widely applied into the clinical practice yet because of the difficulties of an accurate assessment during clinical staging and some authors suggested the use of the lymph node ratio (LNR) [13-18]. However, the influence of LNR on oncological outcomes after multimodal treatment is still an argument of debate [19, 20].

The objectives of our retrospective analysis were: i) to evaluate the oncological long-term results of our multimodality curative-intent approach (neoadjuvant chemotherapy -NAC- followed by surgery) in patients affected by stage IIIA-B (N2) NSCLC focusing on the outcomes of patients with persistent N2 disease (ypN2); and ii) to evaluate the impact of LNR on OS and DFS.

Patients and Methods

Patients who had lung resection after NAC for clinical stage IIIA-B (N2) NSCLC at Careggi University Hospital of Florence, were retrospectively reviewed from a prospectively maintained thoracic surgery database from June 2014 to March 2020. Our institutional review board granted approval and waived the requirement for specific informed consent for this retrospective study.

The inclusion criteria were as follows:

- i. Patients with clinical stage IIIA-B (N2) NSCLC who underwent surgery after NAC.
- Pre-operative cyto-histologically proven N2 disease through videomediastinoscopy (VM) or endobronchial or oesophageal ultrasound (EBUS/EUS).
- Tumor resectability before and after NAC in absence of radiological tumor progression.
- Adequate cardio-respiratory reserve and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status (ECOG-PS) between 0 and 2.

Patients with bulky N2 disease or with N3 disease (contralateral or supraclavicular stations) before NAC and patients who underwent neoadjuvant chemoradiation therapy for involvement of the chest wall or superior sulcus tumor (n=21) were excluded. Baseline and post-operative variables included demographics, comorbidities, pulmonary and cardiovascular assessment, clinical stage, pathological stage, hospital length of stay, post-operative complications and peri-operative mortality (within 90 days or during the same hospital stay).

Clinical stage was assessed by whole-body computer tomographic scan (wb-CT), positron emission tomographic scan (PET-CT-scan), bronchoscopy, endobronchial or oesophageal ultrasound (EBUS/EUS) and videomediastinoscopy. Clinical and pathological stages were resumed with the American Joint Committee on Cancer 8th Edition TNM Classification [21]. Stages obtained before the publication of the 8th Edition were re-checked and reported by the first author (B.S.). All patients were evaluated by our institutional Multidisciplinary Tumor Board (MTB) and the individual treatment was decided based on clinical stage and the most recent international guidelines [1]. A large part of patients (96.5%) received a platinum-based regimen associated with other different drugs. After 3 to 4 courses of NAC, patients without evidence of distant or local disease progression at the restaging performed with wb-CT-scan and PET-CT-scan underwent lung resection and en-bloc ipsilateral mediastinal and hilar lymph node dissection, in accordance with the ESTS guidelines [22]. Surgery was performed through thoracotomy or thoracoscopy based on pre-operative imaging and surgeon preferences and skills.

Follow-up was assessed by outpatient visits including medical history, physical examination and enhanced contrast wb-CT scan every six months. The data were analysed to assess the impact of LNR after surgery on OS and DFS. LNR was defined as the ratio between the number of metastatic lymph nodes and the total number of lymph nodes retrieved; we chose our median LNR (0.29 or 29%) as the threshold for grouping, also considering previous studies [18-20].

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 24.0 software (IBM SPSS Statistics for Macintosh, Version 24.0. Armonk, NY USA). Continuous variables are expressed as median and range, mean values \pm SD; categorical variables were resumed with percentages. OS was calculated from the beginning of treatments to death or date of the last follow-up (31st March 2020); DFS was calculated for those patients who received resection from the starting treatment date to the date of the first evidence of recurrence. Survival probabilities were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method and differences were compared with log-rank test. Cox proportional hazard regression was used to test the association with OS and DFS and covariates. Variables with p<0.20 at univariate analysis were further evaluated by multivariable analysis. Statistical significance for all tests was set a probability value of less than 0.05.

Results

I Clinical and Peri-Operative Findings

During the study period, 85 consecutive patients with cIIIA-B (N2) NSCLC underwent lung resection with systematic lymph node dissection after three to four weeks from the completion of NAC. Table 1 shows demographic, clinical and operative characteristics of the entire cohort. 63 (74.1%) patients had stage IIIA and 22 (25.9%) had stage IIIB comprising only patients with clinical T3N2 and T4N2. N2 disease was confirmed histologically by EBUS/EUS in 70 patients (82.4%) and by videomediastinoscopy in n=15 (17.6%).

| Table 1: Demographic, | pre-operativ | ve and | surgical | characteri | stics of | of the |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------|----------|------------|----------|--------|
| study population. | | | | | | |

| Variable | N=85 (%) mean±SD |
|----------|------------------|
| Males | 52 (61.2%) |
| Age | 65.5±9.35 |
| ECOG PS | |
| 0 | 49 (57.6%) |
| 1 | 31 (36.5%) |
| 2 | 5 (5.9%) |

| Modified CCI | |
|------------------------------|-------------|
| 0 | 25 (29.4%) |
| 1 | 30 (35.3%) |
| 2 | 20 (23.5%) |
| 3 | 9 (10.6%) |
| 4 | 1 (1.2%) |
| Comorbidities | |
| Hypertension | 29 (34.1%) |
| CAD | 7 (8.2%) |
| COPD | 27 (31.7%) |
| Other solid tumour | 5 (5.8%) |
| Diabetes Mellitus | 12 (14.1%) |
| Vascular disease | 3 (3.5%) |
| Clinical stage | |
| IIIA | 63 (74.1%) |
| IIIB | 22 (25.9%) |
| Pre-operative FEV1% | 85.4±18.2 |
| Pre-operative DLCO% | 68±15.1 |
| Invasive mediastinal staging | |
| EBUS/EUS | 70 (82.4%) |
| VM | 15 (17.6%) |
| CDDP | 48 (55.8%) |
| other | 38 (44.2%) |
| ADC | 48 (56.5%) |
| SCC | 30 (35.3%) |
| other | 7 (8.2%) |
| RECIST response | |
| CR | 6 (7.1%) |
| PR | 51 (60%) |
| SD | 28 (32.9%) |
| Surgical procedure | |
| Lobectomy/Bilobectomy | 53 (62.3%) |
| Pneumonectomy | 14 (16.5%) |
| Sublobar resection | 4 (4.7%) |
| Sleeve lobectomy | 14 (16.5%) |
| Site of tumour | - (|
| Unner/Middle Lobe | 56 (65 9%) |
| Lower lobe | 29 (34 1%) |
| Single station involvement | 27 (JT.1/0) |
| More than one station | 42 (49.4%) |
| involved | 72(77.70) |
| nivoived | 45 (50.0%) |

SD: Standard Deviation; ECOG PS: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status; CCI: Charlson Comorbidity Index; CAD: Coronary Artery Disease; COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; FEV1%: Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 Second, DLCO%: Diffusing Capacity of the Lung for Carbon Monoxide, Hb: Haemoglobin; EBUS/EUS: Endobronchial or Esophageal Ultrasound; VM: Videomediastinoscopy; CDDP: Cisplatin Based Chemotherapy; ADC: Adenocarcinoma; SCC: Squamous Cell Carcinoma; RECIST: Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; CR: Complete Response; PR: Partial Response; SD: Stable Disease.

We identified 42 patients (49.4%) with single station mediastinal involvement and 43 patients (50.6%) with involvement of more than one lymph nodal station. After chemotherapy, 6 patients (7.1%) had a complete clinical RECIST (response evaluation criteria in solid tumors)

response whereas 60% obtained a partial response [23]. The remaining 32.9% patients showed a stable disease. Pneumonectomy was performed in 14 patients (16.5%) whereas sleeve-lobectomy and lobectomy represented respectively the 16.5% and 62.3%. Thoracoscopic lung resection (all lobectomies) was performed in n=16 (18.8%) patients. Complete resection (R0) was achieved in n=70 (82.3%) patients, while resection was microscopic incomplete in n=15 (17.7%). Table 2 shows the post-operative, pathological and oncological outcomes. Post-operative 30-day and 90-day mortality were 2.3% and 3.5% respectively; complications developed in 35 patients (41.2%), often minor and not-life-threatening (Clavien-Dindo class II n=23, 27%) [24].

 Table 2: Post-operative, pathological and mid-long term oncological outcomes.

| Variable | N=85 (%) mean±SD | Median (range) |
|----------------------|------------------|----------------|
| 30 day/in hospital | 2 (2.3%) | |
| mortality | | |
| 90-day/in-hospital | 3 (3.5%) | |
| mortality | | |
| Post-operative | 35 (41.2%) | |
| complications | | |
| Clavien-Dindo | | |
| classification | | |
| 2 | 23 (27%) | |
| 3a | 4 (4.7%) | |
| 3b | 3 (3.5%) | |
| 4a | 2 (2.4%) | |
| 5 | 3 (3.5%) | |
| Hospital stay | 11.28±19.7 | 8 (3-180) |
| ICU stay | 3.8±19.7 | 1 (1-160) |
| Pathological tumour | 34±20.8 | |
| diameter (mm) | | |
| рТ | | |
| 0 | 11 (12.9%) | |
| 1a | 7 (8.2%) | |
| 1b | 11 (12.9%) | |
| 1c | 9 (10.6%) | |
| 2a | 17 (20%) | |
| 2b | 11 (12.9%) | |
| 3 | 11 (12.9%) | |
| 4 | 8 (9.4%) | |
| pN | | |
| 0 | 44 (51.8%) | |
| 1 | 14 (16.4%) | |
| 2 | 27 (31.8%) | |
| pN subclassification | | |
| N1a | 11 (12.9%) | |
| N1b | 3 (3.5%) | |
| N2a1 | 16 (18.8%) | |
| N2a2 | 3 (3.5%) | |
| N2b | 8 (9.4%) | |
| Mediastinal | | |
| involvement | | |
| Single | 13 (48.1%) | |
| Two station | 9 (33.3%) | |
| Multiple station | 5 (18.6%) | |

| pStage | | |
|--------|------------|--|
| 0 | 7 (8.2%) | |
| IA | 14 (16.5%) | |
| IB | 5 (5.9%) | |
| IIA | 5 (5.9%) | |
| IIB | 19 (22.4%) | |
| IIIA | 30 (35.3%) | |
| IIIB | 5 (5.9%) | |

SD: Standard Deviation; ICU: Intensive Care Unit.

II Pathological Results and Nodal Assessment

Histological findings showed adenocarcinoma in 56.5% of patients and squamous cell carcinoma in 35.3%. A mean of 13 ± 5.8 lymph nodes were dissected with a mean number of involved lymph node of 1.74 ± 3.12 . The median dissected lymph node stations were 6 (5-8). The final pathology analysis showed a complete pathological response (ypT0N0) in n=7 (8.2%) patients; 27 (31.8%) patients had persistent N2 disease (ypN2),

whereas 58 (68.2%) patients had nodal downstaging to ypN1 (n=14, 16.4%) and to ypN0 (n=44, 51.8%), respectively. Single mediastinal station involvement was observed in 13 (48.1%) patients, while multiple level stations were involved in n=14 (51.9%). Among the 41 patients with ypN+, 28 (68.3%) had an LNR less than 0.29, while it was superior to 0.29 in 13 (15.3%). Regarding patients with LNR<0.29, 14 (15.3%) had ypN2 disease and 14 (16.5%) had pN1 disease, while all patients with LNR >0.29 had ypN2 disease (n=13). Adjuvant radiotherapy was performed in 4 patients (4.7%), 30 patients (35.3%) received adjuvant chemotherapy and 9 patients (10.6%) radio and chemotherapy.

III Survival Analysis

At the median follow-up of 21 months (range 6-69 months), 30 patients (35.2%) had died (8 from tumor-unrelated causes); 39 patients (45.8%) were alive and free of disease and 16 (18.8%) remained alive with recurrence. In particular, 8 (9.4%), 21 (24.7%) and 9 (10.6%) patients developed local, distant and combined recurrence, respectively.



Figure 1: A) Overall survival curve of the whole cohort; B) overall survival curve of patients who had mediastinal downstaging and ypN2 disease; C) overall survival curve in patients with different LNR values.

(5-year OS 24.5% vs 45.5%, p=0.21, respectively). Patients with residual N2 disease (5-year OS 24.5% vs 45.5%, p=0.21, respectively). Patients with LNR 0 showed a trend toward better survival (Figure 1C) in comparison to patients with LNR>0.29 (5-year OS 56% VS 14%, p=0.077); this trend of significance was also confirmed at the multivariable analysis (HR 2.28; p=0.066).

The 5-year DFS was 20% with a median DFS of 27 months (Figure 2A). At the univariate analysis (Table 3) a significantly worse DFS was observed for ypN2 patients (Figure 2B) compared to patients with lymph node downstaging (46% vs 25% 3-year DFS, p=0.039). Completeness of resection was associated with a significantly better 3-year DFS (42.5% vs 37.5%, p=0.025). Moreover, DFS was significantly different according to LNR: 3-year DFS was only 14% in patients with LNR>0.29 while it reached 44% in patients with LNR 0 and 59% in patients with LNR<0.29 (Figure 2C). LNR>0.29 remained the only significant predictor (HR 2.89; p=0.047) of reduced DFS at the multivariable analysis (Table 4).



Figure 2: A) Disease-free survival curve of the whole cohort; B) overall survival curve of patients who had mediastinal downstaging and ypN2 disease; C) disease-free survival curve in patients with different LNR values.

| Variable | n (%) | Median DFS in months | 3y-DFS | 5y-DFS | р |
|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|--------|--------|-------------------|
| Whole cohort | 85 (100) | 27 | 40% | 20% | |
| Gender | | | | | 0.057 |
| М | 52 (61.2) | 37 | 53% | 36% | |
| F | 33 (38.8) | 19 | 21% | NA | |
| SCC | 30 (35.3) | 37 | 55% | 38% | 0.25 |
| Non-squamous | 55 (64.7) | 25 | 30% | NA | |
| Lobectomy/Sublobar | 67 (78.8) | 29 | 46% | 22% | 0.66 |
| Pneumonectomy | 14 (16.5) | 25 | 29% | NA | |
| Clinical stage | | | | | 0.25 |
| IIIA | 63 (74.1) | 28 | 42.5% | NA | |
| IIIB | 22 (25.9) | 16 | 33.5% | 22% | |
| RECIST response | | | | | 0.27 |
| CR+PR | 57 (67) | 27 | 44% | 39% | |
| SD | 28 (33) | 29 | 34% | NA | |
| Downstaged | 58 (68.2) | 32 | 46% | 37% | 0.039 |
| ypN2 | 27 (37.8) | 28 | 25% | NA | |
| LNR0 | 44 (51.8) | 27 | 44% | 38% | 0vs<0.29 0.98 |
| LNR<0.29 | 28 (32.9) | 41 | 59% | NA | 0vs>0.29 0.043 |
| LNR>0.29 | 13 (15.3) | 15 | 14% | NA | <0.29vs>0.29 0.03 |
| ypN+ <0.29 | 28 (32.9) | 41 | 62% | NA | 0.03 |
| ypN+>0.29 | 13 (15.3) | 15 | 14% | NA | |
| N0+N1+N2 LNR <0.29 | 72 (84.7) | 27 | 46% | 25% | 0.022 |
| LNR >0.29 | 13 (15.3) | 15 | 14% | NA | |
| ypN1<0.29 | 14 (16.5) | 41 | 64% | NA | 0.82 |
| ypN2<0.29 | 14 (16.5) | 54 | 63% | NA | |
| ypN0+N1<0.29 | 58 (68.2) | 32 | 46% | 37% | 0.48 |
| ypN2<0.29 | 14 (16.4) | 54 | 63% | NA | |
| Resection status | | | | | |
| R0 | 70 (82.3%) | 28 | 42.5% | 23% | 0.025 |
| R1 | 15 (17.7%) | 15 | 37.5% | NA | |
| PORT | | | | | 0.15 |
| No treatment | 43 (50.6) | 54 | 54% | 36% | |
| Yes | 4 (4.7) | 18 | 25% | NA | |
| Adjuvant chemotherapy | | | | | 0.16 |
| No treatment | 43 (50.6) | 54 | 54% | 36% | |
| Yes | 29 (34.1) | 28 | 34% | NA | |
| Adjuvant CHT+RT | | | | | 0.18 |
| No treatment | 43 (50.6) | 54 | 54% | 36% | |
| Yes | 9 (10.5) | 25 | 37% | NA | |
| Multistation ypN2 | | | | | 0.45 |
| No | 13 (15.3) | 15 | 24.5% | NA | |
| ves | 14 (16.5) | 15 | 24% | NA | |

Table 3: Univariate analysis on disease-free survival.

DFS: Disease-Free Survival; NA: Not Available; SCC: Squamous Cell Carcinoma; RECIST: Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; CR: Complete Response; PR: Partial Response; SD: Stable Disease; LNR: Lymph Node Ratio; PORT: Post-Operative Radiotherapy; CHT: Chemotherapy; RT: Radiation Therapy.

 Table 4: Univariate and multivariable Cox regression analysis about risk factors of reduced disease-free survival.

| | Univariate analysis on DFS | | | Multivariable analysis on DFS | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----------|------|-------------------------------|-----------|------|
| Variable | HR | CI95% | р | HR | CI95% | р |
| Sex female | 1.83 | 0.96-3.49 | 0.06 | 1.56 | 0.78-3.11 | 0.2 |
| Age>70 years | 1.15 | 0.6-2.2 | 0.67 | | | |
| ADC | 1.56 | 0.76-3.2 | 0.22 | | | |
| pN0 | ref | | | | | |
| pN1 | 0.73 | 0.24-2.16 | 0.57 | 0.71 | 0.24-2.14 | 0.55 |

| pN2 | 1.85 | 0.93-3.67 | 0.079 | 1.61 | 0.78-3.31 | 0.19 |
|------------------------|------|-----------|-------|------|-----------|-------|
| Pathological stage III | 2.03 | 1.07-3.88 | 0.03 | 1.76 | 0.89-3.47 | 0.1 |
| LNR | | | | | | |
| LNR 0 | ref | | | | | |
| LNR <0.29 | 1.2 | 0.54-2.67 | 0.65 | 1.14 | 0.51-2.56 | 0.73 |
| LNR >0.29 | 2.4 | 1.1-5.23 | 0.027 | 2.89 | 1.23-6.77 | 0.047 |
| ypN2 | 1.96 | 1.01-3.81 | 0.046 | 1.72 | 0.86-3.45 | 0.12 |
| Adjuvant CHT | 1.5 | 0.78-2.91 | 0.22 | | | |
| PORT | 1.72 | 0.6-4.88 | 0.3 | | | |
| Adjuvant CHT-RT | 0.96 | 0.38-2.4 | 0.94 | | | |
| R1 | 2.32 | 1.07-5.04 | 0.032 | 1.58 | 0.5-4.98 | 0.43 |

DFS: Disease-Free Survival, HR: Hazard Ratio; CI95%: Confidence Interval 95%; ADC: Adenocarcinoma; LNR: Lymph Node Ratio; CHT: Chemotherapy; PORT: Post-Operative Radiation Therapy; CHT-RT: Chemo-Radiation Therapy; R1: Microscopic Incomplete Resection.

When merging patients with ypN0-N1 and ypN2 with LNR <0.29 and comparing them with the subgroup of patients with ypN2 and LNR>0.29, a significant difference in 3-year DFS is found (46% vs 14%; p=0.022). No difference in 3-year DFS is found between patients with ypN1 and patients ypN2 with LNR<0.29 (64% vs 63%; p=0.82) or between patients with ypN0-N1 and patients with persistent N2 disease and LNR<0.29 group (p=0.48).

Discussion

The best multimodality treatment for resectable stage IIIA-B is still controversial, particularly concerning the role of surgery. This retrospective study reported the long-term outcomes of patients affected by stage IIIA-B (N2) NSCLC with pathologically proven mediastinal lymph node involvement who underwent a multimodality treatment including surgery after NAC. Our data suggest that this approach is well tolerated with an acceptable 90-day post-operative mortality, a low incidence of major complications and a good compliance with adjuvant treatments. Within this multimodal pathway we obtained a 41% 5-year OS and 20% 5-year DFS for the entire cohort. These results, in line with those reported in other previous publications showing a 5-year OS rates between 17% and 40% suggest that surgery is an appropriate option for selected patients when integrated in a multimodality approach [4, 25-29].

Due to the retrospective nature of this study, it is likely that a selection bias can have contributed to these favourable results, as patients with progressive disease during NAC were excluded from surgery. It should also be considered that all patients had a resectable disease at the start of NAC, which defines a better scenario compared to the baseline unresectable mediastinal lymph node involvement, as clearly shown by the results of the EORTC trial 08941 [3]. Previous studies showed that lymph nodal downstaging is one of the most powerful prognostic factors. The prognosis of patients with persistent N2 disease is constantly poorer in comparison with patients who achieved a mediastinal downstaging (5year OS less than 20% vs 30-45%, respectively) [25-28]. In our series, we found only a trend toward better survival in patients with ypN0-N1 with 45% versus 24.5% 5-year OS in patients with ypN2. We found that the burden of nodal disease is a more significant determinant of survival compared to the nodal downstaging. These data emerge from the analysis of the DFS: patients with LRN>0.29 had a significantly worse DFS compared to patients with lower LNR (3-year 14% vs 46%, p=0.022) and the multivariable analysis confirmed LNR>0.29 as the only independent prognostic factor for worse DFS. LNR<0.29 also showed a strong trend toward better OS at the multivariable analysis whereas the mediastinal downstaging did not have an influence on survival. This means that the subgroup of 27 patients with persistent N2 disease forms a heterogeneous group in which patients with less burden of nodal disease achieve a significantly better survival compared to patients with more burden of nodal disease and it could explain the controversial results reported in the literature with 0% 5-year OS in some series compared to around 20% 5-year OS in others [4, 20, 29-31].

In our study, when merging patients with ypN0, ypN1 and ypN2 with LNR <0.29 and comparing them with the subgroup of patients with ypN2 and LNR>0.29, we found a significant difference in 3-year DFS (46% vs 14%; p=0.022). No difference in 3-year DFS is found between patients with ypN1 and ypN2<0.29 (64% vs 63%, p=0.82) or between the ypN0-N1 and ypN2<0.29 group (46% vs 63%, p=0.48). These results suggest that not all patients with persistent N2 disease should be excluded from surgery, as some of these patients (those with low LNR) have a survival rate similar to patients with N1 disease and can be cured; moreover, patients with high burden of nodal disease should be absolutely selected for some adjuvant treatments. In our study population, the 60% of ypN2 patients and the 60% of patients with LNR>0.29 developed distant metastasis (data not shown) and then aggressive consolidative therapies (adjuvant chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy) should be administered to these patients [9, 17, 32].

The LNR emerged from our results as the most effective and reliable prognostic factors for OS and DFS, but how could the clinicians evaluate this finding pre-operatively? The use of invasive methods to restage the mediastinum like videomediastinoscopy have shown poor results in terms of accuracy, if already done before treatment [33]. Although EBUS/EUS could be considered an accurate method for restaging with a diagnostic accuracy of 77%, its use can only define the persistence of N2 disease, which is not a contraindication to surgery since some patients with persistent N2 disease and low burden of nodal involvement that can still benefit of it [34]. In fact, to evaluate the LNR is necessary to achieve a systematic lymph node dissection including the N1 stations, possible only with surgery. Some experiences showed a significative

8

correlation between the decrease of PET-CT-scan avidity of the primary tumor and mediastinal lymph node downstaging [25, 35]. Hence, we believe that the integration of the clinical re-assessment with wb-CTscan, PET-CT-scan and other clinical variables, are adequate to exclude the progression of disease and play a basilar role to indicate surgery [25, 35]. Moreover, the NCCN-guidelines suggest to proceed with surgery in case of persistent resectability and absence of tumor progression [1].

The traditional N classification considers only the lymphatic region involved and not the "burden of nodal disease". The subclassification proposed by Park is a good compromise between the localization and the burden of nodal disease [13]. Some authors suggest the use of the number of the involved lymph node (as also used in other malignancy as breast, colonic or oesophageal cancer) while other consider the number of retrieved lymph nodes a critical factor to estimate the prognosis [15-19]. The LNR combines both concept into one evaluable parameter and its prognostic value has been shown in oncological settings different from NSCLC [15-18]. However, its influence after multimodality treatment has not been widely evaluated [16, 19, 20]. Our study clearly showed the prognostic role of high LNR value. Anyway, the use of LNR needs some consideration: first of all, a systematic lymph node dissection is the natural prerequisite for a reliable LNR; second, different thresholds have been reported in the literature ranging from 15-50% [1, 15-20, 22]. Larger studies are needed to clarify which is the best cut-off value for LNR to predict prognosis and to indicate adjuvant treatments.

Our study has some limitations: first of all, it is a retrospective study with its inherent potential selection bias. Second, LNR is affected by the type of lymph node dissection and then could differ between surgeons and institutions even if international guidelines suggest the use of systematic lymph node dissection for NSCLC; third, this is a cohort study without control group and then differences in baseline patient characteristics of our cohort should be considered when comparing our results with those of other published series; moreover, we did not perform a comparison of the outcomes between NAC followed by surgery and chemoradiotherapy followed by immunotherapy [1, 22, 36]. On the other hand, the short study period (2014-2020) can be considered an advantage for the homogeneity of the diagnostic path used with modern techniques of staging and restaging (all with wb-CT-scan and mostly with PET-CTscan), the adoption of modern surgical and anaesthetic techniques and also for the latest therapeutic protocols in both neoadjuvant and adjuvant settings.

Conclusion

Surgery after NAC in patients with Stage IIIA-B (N2) NSCLC can be nowadays performed with an acceptable morbidity and mortality. Patients with persistent N2 disease after NAC and surgery can have acceptable outcomes and this finding is particularly true for patients with low burden of nodal disease that can be defined by the LNR. High value of LNR had a strong negative influence on survival and represents a clear indication to adjuvant treatment. Some well-designed or prospective large-scale studies are necessary to verify the prognostic role of LNR and to assess the right cut-off of this potentially useful tool to address patients to a tailored adjuvant treatment.

Funding

None.

Conflicts of Interest

None.

Author Contributions

Stefano Bongiolatti: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data curation, Formal analysis, Software, Validation, Writing-original draft; Francesca Mazzoni: Data curation, Investigation, Validation; Alessandro Gonfiotti: Methodology, Writing-review & editing, Validation; Alberto Salvicchi: Data curation, Investigation, Validation; Katia Ferrari: Data curation, Investigation, Validation; Vieri Scotti: Data curation, Investigation, Validation; Luca Voltolini: Conceptualization, Writingreview & editing, Validation.

Abbreviations and Acronyms

NSCLC: Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer NAC: Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy MBT: Multidisciplinary Tumor Board ypN2: persistent N2 disease LNR: Lymph Node Ratio OS: Overall Survival DFS: Disease-Free Survival EBUS/EUS: Endobronchial Ultrasound/Esophageal Ultrasound

REFERENCES

- Ettinger DS, Aisner DL, Wood DE, Akerley W, Bauma J et al. (2018) NCCN Guidelines Insights: Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer, Version 5.2018. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 16: 807-821. [Crossref]
- Albain KS, Swann RS, Rusch VW, Turrisi AT, Shepherd FA et al. (2009) Radiotherapy plus chemotherapy with or without surgical resection for stage III non-small-cell lung cancer: a phase III randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 374: 379-386. [Crossref]
- van Meerbeeck JP, Kramer GWPM, van Schil PEY, Legrand C, Smit EF et al. (2007) Randomized controlled trial of resection versus radiotherapy after induction chemotherapy in stage IIIA-N2 non-smallcell lung cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 99: 442-450. [Crossref]
- Eberhardt WE, Pöttgen C, Gauler TC, Friedel G, Veit S et al. (2015) Phase III Study of Surgery Versus Definitive Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy Boost in Patients With Resectable Stage IIIA(N2) and Selected IIIB Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer After Induction Chemotherapy and Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy (ESPATUE). J Clin Oncol 33: 4194-4201. [Crossref]
- Voltolini L, Luzzi L, Ghiribelli C, Paladini P, Di Bisceglie M et al. (2001) Results of induction chemotherapy followed by surgical resection in patients with stage IIIA (N2) non-small cell lung cancer: the importance of the nodal down-staging after chemotherapy. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 20: 1106-1112. [Crossref]
- Song WA, Zhou NK, Wang W, Chu XY, Liang CY et al. (2010) Survival benefit of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in non-small cell lung

cancer: an updated meta-analysis of 13 randomized control trials. *J Thorac Oncol* 5: 510-516. [Crossref]

- Früh M, Betticher DC, Stupp R, Xyrafas A, Peters S et al. (2019) Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research (SAKK). Multimodal Treatment in Operable Stage III NSCLC: A Pooled Analysis on Long-Term Results of Three SAKK trials (SAKK 16/96, 16/00, and 16/01). *J Thorac Oncol* 14: 115-123. [Crossref]
- Betticher DC, Hsu Schmitz SF, Tötsch M, Hansen E, Joss C et al. (2003) Mediastinal lymph node clearance after docetaxel-cisplatin neoadjuvant chemotherapy is prognostic of survival in patients with stage IIIA pN2 non-small-cell lung cancer: a multicenter phase II trial. *J Clin Oncol* 21: 1752-1759. [Crossref]
- Spicer JD, Shewale JB, Nelson DB, Mitchell KG, Bott MJ et al. (2019) Multimodality Therapy for N2 Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: An Evolving Paradigm. *Ann Thorac Surg* 107: 277-284. [Crossref]
- Steger V, Walker T, Mustafi M, Lehrach K, Kyriss T et al. (2012) Surgery on unfavourable persistent N2/N3 non-small-cell lung cancer after trimodal therapy: do the results justify the risk? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 15: 948-953. [Crossref]
- Port JL, Korst RJ, Lee PC, Levin MA, Becker DE et al. (2005) Surgical resection for residual N2 disease after induction chemotherapy. *Ann Thorac Surg* 79: 1686-1690. [Crossref]
- De Leyn P, Vansteenkiste J, Deneffe G, Van Raemdonck D, Coosemans W et al. (1999) Result of induction chemotherapy followed by surgery in patients with stage IIIA N2 NSCLC: importance of pretreatment mediastinoscopy. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 15: 608-614. [Crossref]
- Park BK, Kim TH, Shin S, Kim HK, Choi YS et al. (2019) Recommended Change in the N Descriptor Proposed by the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer: A Validation Study. *Thorac Oncol* 14: 1962-1969. [Crossref]
- Matsuguma H, Oki I, Nakahara R, Ohata N, Igarashi S et al. (2012) Proposal of new nodal classifications for non-small-cell lung cancer based on the number and ratio of metastatic lymph nodes. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 41: 19-24. [Crossref]
- Zhao Y, Li G, Zheng D, Jia M, Dai W et al. (2017) The prognostic value of lymph node ratio (lnr) and log odds of positive lymph nodes (lodds) in patients with lung adenocarcinoma. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 153: 702-709.e1. [Crossref]
- Taylor M, LaPar DJ, Thomas CJ, Persinger M, Stelow EB et al. (2013) Lymph Node Ratio Predicts Recurrence and Survival After R0 Resection for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* 96: 1163-1170. [Crossref]
- Urban D, Bar J, Solomon B, Ball D (2013) Lymph node ratio may predict the benefit of postoperative radiotherapy in non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 8: 940-946. [Crossref]
- Chiappetta M, Leuzzi G, Sperduti I, Bria E, Mucilli F et al. (2019) Lymph-node ratio predicts survival among the different stages of nonsmall-cell lung cancer: a multicentre analysis. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 55: 405-412. [Crossref]
- Shinde A, Horne ZD, Li R, Glaser S, Massarelli E et al. (2019) Optimal adjuvant therapy in clinically N2 non-small cell lung cancer patients undergoing neoadjuvant chemotherapy and surgery: The importance of pathological response and lymph node ratio. *Lung Cancer* 133: 136-143. [Crossref]
- Haager B, Wiesemann S, Passlick B, Schmid S (2018) Prognostic value of lymph node ratio after induction therapy in stage IIIA/N2 non-small

cell lung cancer: a monocentric clinical study. *J Thorac Dis* 10: 3225-3231. [Crossref]

- Chansky K, Detterbeck FC, Nicholson AG, Rusch VW, Vallières E et al. (2017) The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: External Validation of the Revision of the TNM Stage Groupings in the Eighth Edition of the TNM Classification of Lung Cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 12: 1109-1121. [Crossref]
- De Leyn P, Dooms C, Kuzdzal J, Lardinois D, Passlick B et al. (2014) Revised ESTS guidelines for preoperative mediastinal lymph node staging for non-small-cell lung cancer. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 45: 787-798. [Crossref]
- Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D et al. (2009) New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). *Eur J Cancer* 45: 228-247. [Crossref]
- Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA (2004) Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. *Ann Surg* 240: 205-213. [Crossref]
- 25. Dooms C, Verbeken E, Stroobants S, Nackaerts K, De Leyn P et al. (2008) Prognostic stratification of stage IIIA-N2 non-small-cell lung cancer after induction chemotherapy: a model based on the combination of morphometric-pathologic response in mediastinal nodes and primary tumor response on serial 18-fluoro-2-deoxy-glucose positron emission tomography. *Clin Oncol* 26: 1128-1134. [Crossref]
- Barlési F, Doddoli C, Torre JP, Giudicelli R, Fuentes P et al. (2005) Comparative prognostic features of stage IIIAN2 and IIIB non-smallcell lung cancer patients treated with surgery after induction therapy. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 28: 629-634. [Crossref]
- Bueno R, Richards WG, Swanson SJ, Jaklitsch MT, Lukanich JM et al. (2000) Nodal stage after induction therapy for stage IIIA lung cancer determines patient survival. *Ann Thorac Surg* 70: 1826-1831. [Crossref]
- Martin J, Ginsberg RJ, Venkatraman ES, Bains MS, Downey RJ et al. (2002) Long-term results of combined-modality therapy in resectable non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 20: 1989-1995. [Crossref]
- Decaluwé H, De Leyn P, Vansteenkiste J, Dooms C, Van Raemdonck D et al. (2009) Surgical multimodality treatment for baseline resectable stage IIIA-N2 non-small cell lung cancer. Degree of mediastinal lymph node involvement and impact on survival. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 36: 433-439. [Crossref]
- Renaud S, Falcoz PE, Olland A, Reeb J, Santelmo N et al. (2015) Mediastinal downstaging after induction treatment is not a significant prognostic factor to select patients who would benefit from surgery: the clinical value of the lymph node ratio. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 20: 222-227. [Crossref]
- Higgins KA, Chino JP, Ready N, Onaitis MW, Berry MF et al. (2011) Persistent N2 disease after neoadjuvant chemotherapy for non-smallcell lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 142: 1175-1179. [Crossref]
- Amini A, Correa AM, Komaki R, Chang JY, Tsao AS et al. (2012) The role of consolidation therapy for stage III non-small cell lung cancer with persistent N2 disease after induction chemotherapy. *Ann Thorac Surg* 94: 914-920. [Crossref]
- 33. De Leyn P, Stroobants S, De Wever W, Lerut T, Coosemans W et al. (2006) Prospective comparative study of integrated positron emission tomography-computed tomography scan compared with remediastinoscopy in the assessment of residual mediastinal lymph node disease after induction chemotherapy for mediastinoscopy-proven

stage IIIA-N2 Non-small-cell lung cancer: a Leuven Lung Cancer Group Study. *J Clin Oncol* 24: 3333-3339. [Crossref]

- Herth FJ, Annema JT, Eberhardt R, Yasufuku K, Ernst A et al. (2008) Endobronchial ultrasound with transbronchial needle aspiration for restaging the mediastinum in lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 26: 3346-3350. [Crossref]
- Kamel MK, Rahouma M, Ghaly G, Nasar A, Port JL et al. (2017) Clinical Predictors of Persistent Mediastinal Nodal Disease After Induction Therapy for Stage IIIA N2 Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Ann Thorac Surg 103: 281-286. [Crossref]
- Antonia SJ, Villegas A, Daniel D, Vicente D, Murakami S et al. (2017) Durvalumab after Chemoradiotherapy in Stage III Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. N Engl J Med 377: 1919-1929. [Crossref]