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Comparison between Gastric Babble Sound and Chest X-Ray for Positioning in Nasogastric Tube Insertion on General Anesthesia for Oral and Maxillofacial Patients
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ABSTRACT

Objective: This study aimed to compare gastric babble sound with chest X-ray for positioning in nasogastric tube insertion on general anesthesia for oral and maxillofacial patients.

Methods: Fifty-six oral and maxillofacial patients with nasogastric tube on general anesthesia were included in this study. Length of nasogastric tube using gastric babble sound for positioning in nasogastric tube insertion on general anesthesia were compared with those using chest X-ray after general anesthesia. Furthermore, we evaluated the relationship between height, weight, Body Mass Index (BMI) and length of nasogastric tube using Pearson’s correlation test. A P value lower than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results: The incidences of adjustment after chest X-ray were 39.3 % (22/56 cases). Regarding cases of the adjustment after chest X-ray, over tube length cases was 90.9 % (20/22 cases, over length: 5.3 ± 1.8 cm) and under tube length cases was 9.1 % (2/22 cases, under length: -10 ± 0.0 cm). Furthermore, Height correlated with length of nasogastric tube using gastric babble sound for positioning in nasogastric tube insertion on general anesthesia (R = 0.505; p = 0.000) and length after adjustment using chest X-ray (R = 0.494; p = 0.000).

Conclusions: The chest X-ray seems to be useful for positioning in nasogastric tube insertion on general anesthesia for oral and maxillofacial patients.

© 2019 Ichiro Ogura. Hosting by Science Repository. All rights reserved

Introduction

Nasogastric tubes still remain the easiest and the best way for gastrointestinal tract access [1]. There are various indications for the insertion of a nasogastric tube in anaesthetized and critically ill patients. However, insertion of a gastric tube in anesthetized, paralyzed, and intubated patients can be difficult [2]. Furthermore, inserting a nasogastric tube into unconscious patients can be challenging because these patients cannot cooperate with the operator [3]. The complications of misplacement are rare but very dangerous for the patients [4, 5]. Confirmation of the correct position in the stomach is warranted to avoid serious complications such as misplacement in the lung, and testing pH of aspirate from a tube is one of the techniques to confirm the tip position [6]. One causal factor is that in the National Patient Safety Agency (NPSA) guidance to place a tube, length is measured from nose to ear to xiphisternum (NEX); NEX is incorrect because it only approximates the nose to gastro-esophageal junction distance and is therefore too short [7]. The ultrasound exam appears to be a simple and rapid method for the recognition of the correct positioning of the nasogastric tube in critically ill patients [8].
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An abdominal X-ray remains the golden standard, but X-ray interpretation has to be performed by a competent person and if there is any doubt of misinterpretation, the advice of a radiologist should be sought [9]. Furthermore, correct placement is verified using air insufflation [10]. However, to the best of our knowledge, the comparison between gastric babble sound and chest X-ray for positioning in nasogastric tube insertion on general anesthesia for oral and maxillofacial patients has not been reported in the literature. This study aimed to compare gastric babble sound with chest X-ray for positioning in nasogastric tube insertion on general anesthesia for oral and maxillofacial patients.

Materials and Methods

I Patients

This study was approved by the ethics committee of our institution. After providing written informed consent, 56 oral and maxillofacial patients (21 men and 35 women; age 17 to 85 years, mean age 61.4 years) with nasogastric tube on general anesthesia at our university hospital from April 2018 to March 2019.

II Chest X-ray Techniques

All the nasogastric tubes (CORFLO NASOGASTRIC / NASOINTESTINAL FEEDING TUBE WITH STYLET; HALYARD, USA) were positioned by the anesthetist by measuring the distance from the tip of the patient’s nose to the earlobe and from the earlobe to the xiphoid process (NEX). The anesthetist performed the stethoscope of gastric babble sound in real time. The patients with nasogastric tube insertion were performed chest X-ray after general anesthesia for confirmation of positioning in nasogastric tube insertion. Chest X-ray were acquired with an X-ray machine (ROTANODE; Canon Medical Systems, Otawara, Japan) using the chest protocol at our hospital: tube voltage, 130 kV; tube current, 100 mA.

III Data Analysis

All chest X-ray images were evaluated by the anesthetist and radiologists, and any differences were resolved by forced consensus. Length of nasogastric tube using gastric babble sound for positioning in nasogastric tube insertion on general anesthesia was compared with those using chest X-ray after general anesthesia. Furthermore, we evaluated the relationship between height, weight, Body Mass Index (BMI) and length of nasogastric tube.

IV Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses for relationship between height, weight, BMI and length of nasogastric tube were performed using Pearson’s correlation test. These analyses were performed with the statistical package IBM SPSS Statistics 26 (IBM Japan, Tokyo, Japan). A P value lower than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of the oral and maxillofacial patients with nasogastric tube on general anesthesia is shown in (Table 1). The incidences of adjustment after chest X-ray were 39.3 % (22/56 cases). Regarding cases of the adjustment after chest X-ray, over tube length cases was 90.9 % (20/22 cases, over length: 5.3 ± 1.8 cm) and under tube length cases (Figure 1) was 9.1 % (2/22 cases, under length: -10 ± 0.0 cm). Table 2 shows relationship between height, weight, BMI and length of nasogastric tube. Height correlated with length of nasogastric tube using gastric babble sound for positioning in nasogastric tube insertion on general anesthesia (R = 0.505; p = 0.000) and length after adjustment using chest X-ray (R = 0.494; p = 0.000).

Table 1: Characteristics of oral and maxillofacial patients with nasogastric tube on general anesthesia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Mean ± standard deviation</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>56 (100 %)</td>
<td>61.4 ± 19.8</td>
<td>17 - 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>21 (37.5 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>35 (62.5 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary diseases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoplasms</td>
<td>37 (66.1 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory conditions</td>
<td>7 (12.5 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaw deformity</td>
<td>7 (12.5 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>5 (8.9 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>56 (100 %)</td>
<td>160.7 ± 10.5</td>
<td>142.1-182.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>56 (100 %)</td>
<td>59.8 ± 14.7</td>
<td>37.3-133.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (Body Mass Index)</td>
<td>56 (100 %)</td>
<td>23.0 ± 4.1</td>
<td>16.5-40.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of nasogastric tube (cm)</td>
<td>56 (100 %)</td>
<td>53.4 ± 5.0</td>
<td>45 - 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric babble sound</td>
<td>56 (100 %)</td>
<td>51.9 ± 3.8</td>
<td>43 - 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest X-ray Adjustment after chest X-ray</td>
<td>56 (100 %)</td>
<td>51.9 ± 3.8</td>
<td>43 - 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence</td>
<td>34 (60.7 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence</td>
<td>22 (39.3 %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over tube length (cm)</td>
<td>20 (90.9 %)</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>3 - 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under tube length (cm)</td>
<td>2 ( 9.1 %)</td>
<td>-10 ± 0.0</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Relationship between height, weight, BMI and length of nasogastric tube.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient (R) in length of nasogastric tube</th>
<th>Chest X-ray</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>0.505 (p = 0.000)</td>
<td>0.494 (p = 0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>0.261 (p = 0.052)</td>
<td>0.255 (p = 0.058)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (Body Mass Index)</td>
<td>0.000 (p = 0.999)</td>
<td>-0.026 (p = 0.850)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Discussion

The positioning of the gastric tube, which is often used in clinical practice, has not yet been standardized [8]. In the USA, the placement of the gastric tube is regularly controlled by checking X-ray images [9]. In many countries in Europe, correct placement is verified using air insufflation [10]. Several methods are suggested to verify the placement of gastric tube, such as the auscultation of bubbling sounds, the sampling of pH aspirates from the feeding tube, a chest X-ray and colorimetric capnography [11]. Therefore, we compared gastric babble sound with chest X-ray for positioning in nasogastric tube insertion on general anesthesia for oral and maxillofacial patients.

Taylor et al. showed that only the electromagnetic trace or possibly direct vision can show in real time whether the tip has safely reached the gastric body [4, 7]. Zatelli et al. indicated that the high sensitivity of the sonography in the verify correct positioning of gastric tube in the adult ICU patients [8]. Boeykens et al. evaluated the auscultatory method and pH measurement with a pH cut-off point of 5.5 after tube insertion and compared this with the ‘gold standard’: an abdominal X-ray [6]. They indicated that the auscultatory method is unreliable. In this study, the incidences of adjustment after chest X-ray were 39.3 %, whereas adjustment after chest X-ray, over tube length cases was 90.9 % (over length: 5.3 ± 1.8 cm) and under tube length cases was 9.1 % (under length: -10 ± 0.0 cm). We consider that the chest X-ray should be useful for positioning in nasogastric tube insertion on general anesthesia.

NEX is incorrect because it only approximates the nose to gastro-esophageal junction distance and is therefore too short [7]. In this study, height correlated with length of nasogastric tube using gastric babble sound for positioning in nasogastric tube insertion on general anesthesia (R = 0.505; p = 0.000) and length after adjustment using chest X-ray (R = 0.494; p = 0.000). We consider that height should not be useful for positioning in nasogastric tube insertion on general anesthesia. There were several limitations of this study. The sample was relatively small. Further research is necessary to validate these results.

Conclusion

This study compared gastric babble sound with chest X-ray for positioning in nasogastric tube insertion on general anesthesia for oral and maxillofacial patients. The chest X-ray seems to be useful for positioning in nasogastric tube insertion on general anesthesia for oral and maxillofacial patients.
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